

US Hegemony in Europe – The CIA

By Anthony Shell

July 2023

This research addresses the activities (including, in particular, the unlawful activities) of the US Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), within the context of recent events within the UK.

The context for this research is that of actions by the USA within European countries over the past 25 years – with a focus on SERBIA / KOSOVO, UKRAINE / RUSSIA, and the UK. This report therefore serves, in particular, to supplement three previous reports on the current, horrendous conflict in UKRAINE, in regard to the past and present activities the US / NATO / UK Governments.^{1 2 3}

One of the Major concerns, described within this report, is that of the behaviour of a particular agency of State (i.e. the USA CIA) within another State (i.e. the UK). This raises vitally important questions – not least, in regard to instances where such behaviour may be contrary to the security, and to the interests, of the people of the host State (of the UK, or of other States).

Where possible (and where appropriate) scans of original, official documents have been included within this report – along with source references, where appropriate(as footnotes).

This work is an ongoing project.

¹ www.darklake-synectics.co.uk/docs/USAwarUKR.pdf

² www.darklake-synectics.co.uk/docs/UKfomentingwarUKR.pdf

³ www.darklake-synectics.co.uk/docs/USAwarUKR_2.pdf

Ukraine, 1953 to 1970 – The CIA + OUN (B) 'Partisan' Movement

~~TOP SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHIEF, PLANS 28 August 1953

SUBJECT: Project AERODYNAMIC (Renewal)

1. The FI purpose of Project AERODYNAMIC is to exploit the anti-Soviet Ukrainian resistance groups (UHRV, UFA, ZPUHVR and the OUN/B) in Western Europe for intelligence purposes. The specific FI objectives are the training and dispatch of agents into the Soviet Ukraine to procure operational, document and positive intelligence, and to establish a sound UHRV-CIA working relationship both in and outside the target area through the ZPUHVR.

2. Project AERODYNAMIC was originally approved on 31 June 1951 as a joint FI-PP operation. To date, the project has been successful in dispatching agents into the Ukrainian SSR and has established W/T contact with two of the agents dispatched. The field of intelligence procurement has been limited to situation reports and a few items of military importance. Three SODB's have been disseminated.

3. Present plans call for the continued training of three agents, recruitment and training of three additional agents and the utilization of one agent spotter in Western Europe. Agent training is being transferred from Germany to the DOB. Detailed Commo and Logistical annexes are attached to the project outline.

4. A breakdown of the estimated cost, [] for the FI portion of Project AERODYNAMIC is as follows:

Salaries:		
1 chief agent (high-ranking member of emigre group)	\$ 8,000	
3 agent trainees	3,600	
3 additional agent trainees (9 months)	2,700	
Housekeeping personnel	1,500	
	<u>\$15,800</u>	\$15,800
Travel		
Operational expenses (disposal, emergency, bonuses, etc.)		[]
Operational currency (foreign)		
Operational supplies and equipment		
TOTAL		

5. The SR is requesting renewal of the FI portion of Project AERODYNAMIC for the period 1 July 1953 through 30 June 1954.

CLASSIFICATION
Cancelled
Changed to *Secret*
BY AUTHORITY OF *100-1-58-294*
Name *1360.7map57*
Office
Date

Approved: *[Signature]* Chief, Plans 23 Sept 53
Date *88049-A*

ATTACHMENT: Project Outline AERODYNAMIC
cc: STC/SPB, RI for Vital Records



~~TOP SECRET~~

Copy 1 of 4 copies

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
FOURCS METHUSEN EXEMPTION 9928
NAZIS/AR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

This document scan is in regard to the 1953 to 1970 CIA 'Project Aerodynamic' – a covert collaboration between the USA Government and the UKRAINIAN pro-NAZI OUN movement. This was quite clearly a part of the US / CIA effort to destabilize the Soviet Union, and (ultimately) to make UKRAINE subordinate to Western power and influence.

Lies and Liars: 'No NATO Expansion' (1990) – [1]



The USA was therefore indicating to RUSSIA that it would not expand NATO any further, if the RUSSIAN Government agreed to a unified GERMANY as a NATO member – which (*de facto*) is what RUSSIA eventually agreed to.

Lies and Liars: 'No NATO Expansion' (1990) – [2]

Date: Feb 9, 1990

Description: Even with (unjustified) redactions by U.S. classification officers, this American transcript of perhaps the most famous U.S. assurance to the Soviets on NATO expansion confirms the Soviet transcript of the same conversation. Repeating what Bush said at the Malta summit in December 1989, Baker tells Gorbachev: "The President and I have made clear that we seek no unilateral advantage in this process" of inevitable German unification. Baker goes on to say, "We understand the need for assurances to the countries in the East. If we maintain a presence in a Germany that is a part of NATO, there would be no extension of NATO's jurisdiction for forces of NATO one inch to the east." Later in the conversation, Baker poses the same position as a question, "would you prefer a united Germany outside of NATO that is independent and has no US forces or would you prefer a united Germany with ties to NATO and assurances that there would be no extension of NATO's current jurisdiction eastward?" The declassifiers of this memcon actually redacted Gorbachev's response that indeed such an expansion would be "unacceptable" – but Baker's letter to Kohl the next day, published in 1998 by the Germans, gives the quote.

Source: U.S. Department of State, FOIA 199504567 (National Security Archive Flashpoints Collection, Box 38)

Document published in following posting(s):

NATO Expansion: What Gorbachev Heard

Dec 12, 2017

The US Government has attempted to conceal details of the 1990 US / Russia meeting between the US Secretary of State James BAKER and the Russian Premier Mikhail GORBACHEV (see above).⁴

JUNE 18, 1997

NATO Expansion

Sen. Joe Biden (D-DE) talked about the possibility of NATO expansion and what it could mean for the future of foreign diplomacy. He outlined his support for enlargement of NATO and gave his predictions for the Senate's position on the issue. The Czech Republic, Hungary and Poland are the **United States'** choices for membership in NATO. [close](#)

Report Video Issue



From the late 1990s and onwards Senator Joseph BIDEN was one of the most belligerent of US politicians in regard to voicing support (and lobbying for) NATO expansion.

⁴ National Security Archive, Suite 701, Gelman Library, George Washington University, 2130 H St., NW, Washington DC, 20037

The US Political 'Cheerleaders' For NATO Enlargement

In late July, Rosner sat down to assess where the Administration stood politically. Paris and Madrid had consolidated support among some Senate Democrats. The Senate Republican leadership was still solidly behind enlargement although there were signs of erosion in Republican support. Rosner himself counted fewer than 40 Senators as confirmed supporters. The danger the Administration faced, he wrote Albright, was that Senators with very different concerns would coalesce into a bloc to provide the 34 votes needed to defeat enlargement.⁴⁴ But the first fruits of the Administration's outreach strategy were also starting to come in. In late June, the U.S. Conference of Mayors and the American Jewish Committee endorsed enlargement. Throughout the summer and early fall a series of veterans organizations came out in favor as well—the Reserve Officer's Association, the Veterans of Foreign Wars, Jewish War Veterans and the American Legion.

In early September the Administration got a major boost when the New Atlantic Initiative (NAI) issued a pro-enlargement letter signed by more than 130 figures from the foreign policy establishment—including former secretaries of state, five former national security advisors, six former secretaries of defense, eight former senators, and two former vice presidents. It was presented in early September by Republicans Jeane Kirkpatrick and Paul Wolfowitz, along with Democrats Tony Lake and Richard Holbrooke, in the Andrew Mellon Auditorium of the State Department.⁴⁵

But the key to the Administration's strategy was the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, which would make the initial recommendations to the Senate as a whole and draft the resolution of ratification, and in particular Senators Helms and Biden. With the Armed Services and Appropriations Committees chaired by skeptics, we needed Helms and Biden to cheerlead enlargement in the Senate. Over the summer Rosner met regularly with Helms's and Biden's chiefs of staff, Bud Nance and Ed Hall, as well as the key European-foreign-policy aides of the two Senators—Steve Biegun and Mike Haltzel. Randy Scheuneman from Majority Leader Lott's staff and Ian Brzezinski from Senator Roth's staff also played a key role. We agreed to a series of fall hearings focusing on the rationale for enlargement, new members' qualifications, and burden-sharing as well as NATO-Russia relations.

On August 28, Rosner reported to Albright on how the planned hearings fit into the Administration's strategy. He underscored Albright's crucial role in aggressively addressing Helms's concerns during the hearings—while at the same

The Political Battle 269

time working to bring skeptical Democrats on board. NATO planned to sign the protocols of accession at its Foreign Ministers meeting in December. The tentative window for the vote in the Senate was in March 1998. The clock was starting to tick. Therefore, the autumn hearings were key to locking in the Senators' support.⁴⁶

The following week, Helms' staff sent him a parallel memo proposing the fall hearings as initiating the formal process of Senate advice and consent. The Senator agreed to chair the hearings, and circled on his copy of the memo the issue of burden-sharing and writing: "This should be number one."⁴⁷ But Helms was still being lobbied by conservative opponents of enlargement to reconsider his position. On September 2, Helms wrote Jude Wanniski, a friend of the Senator and patron saint for conservative supply side economists, denying that he had irrevocably committed himself to expansion. "My only firm public position is that I do not favor further soaking the American taxpayer for any NATO expansion (and I do favor diminishing our enormous outlays as quickly as may be possible)."


When Helms' staff saw the letter, they realized their boss had still not yet fully made up his mind. They requested a meeting with the Senator to clarify his views on enlargement. In preparation for that meeting, they sent him a memo on September 8 arguing that enlargement was a conservative idea that came from anti-communist leaders like Lech Walesa and Vaclav Havel who feared Russian encroachment. They noted that it was supported by key U.S. conservative figures such as Jeane Kirkpatrick, Richard Perle, and Dick Cheney and had been part of the Republican "Contract with America." The message was clear: enlargement had a solid Republican pedigree. The Clinton Administration, the memo alleged, had initially opposed enlargement for fear it would offend Russia. "Clinton political advisors," it noted, had "belatedly accepted the issue of NATO enlargement and tried to claim credit for the initiative." But the memo admitted that Albright's appointment as Secretary of State "has put the United States on a clear course toward NATO enlargement."

Republicans, the memo noted, had three concerns about the Administration's handling of enlargement. One was the Clinton Administration's reticence to justify NATO enlargement as a military response to a residual Russian threat. The second was the NATO-Russia Founding Act's provisions on potential joint decisionmaking. The third was the costs of enlargement. "Notwithstanding some of the unfavorable inclinations of the Clinton Administration, NATO enlargement can be done right," the memo concluded. "For the somewhat moribund though still formidable military alliance of NATO, enlargement will be a certain improvement. For the legacy of Yalta it will be a reversal, and for the

Notably (then Senator) Joseph BIDEN was therefore seen, within Washington DC (including by the US political elite, the Government agencies, the military establishment, and US weapons manufacturers), as being one of the main "cheerleaders" for NATO enlargement.⁵

⁵ From: 'Opening NATO's Door – How the Alliance Remade Itself for a New Era', by Ronald D. Asmus, A Council On Foreign Relations Book, Columbia University Press, New York, USA, August 2004

CIA Report, 1990



This is an image scan of page 11 of the document 'Terrorism Review', Director of Intelligence, Central Intelligence Agency, marked SECRET – NOFORN / NOCONTRACT / ORCON, 4th October 1990 – page 11. Areas of special interest have been highlighted – i.e. the recognition of areas within Europe with significant ethnic minorities, that therefore provide future opportunities for the fomenting of extreme regional conflict.

The US Connection: The 'Greater Albania' Project

SECRET Rel USA KFOR and NATO

DOI	REMARKS	SOURCE	CLASS
	Xhemajl MUSTAFA, on the orders of Xhavit HALITI. This contact stated that DERMAKU is a member of ShiK who until recently, operated in the GNJILANE area, it is believed that DERMAKU has no choice in the matter, if he does not do as HALITI says he will be killed. DERMAKU is believed to have collaborated with the Serbs during the conflict and for this he was given an ultimatum by HALITI, either he works for ShiK or he will be executed. The report added that DERMAKU moved to PRISTINA several months ago after he became too widely known in GNJILANE. See J2X Summaries of 07, 13, 23 and 27 Dec 00 for further details. COMMENT ENDS.		
12 Oct 00	DOI: 20001012 SPC Demetrios Moshuris (S/REL KFOR) He is financially managing the PDK and controls the "Call of Motherland" fund, which is the financial organization in charge of collecting all the aid for Kosovo from the Albanian and K-Albanian emigrants living in Switzerland and Germany, through a capillary banks organization present in western Europe. HALITI can also rely on the financial support of the "American Albanian Civil League", which is the American Albanian emigrants agency for the support of the "Greater Albanian" project in the USA. HALITI has been reported as the "trait d'union" between the MILOSEVIC regime and the Albanian, Fatos NANO, for all the financial transactions and financial movements which, starting from BELGRADE (DQ 6064), are currently going to ATHENS and later on to PRISTINA (EN 1223) via TIRANA. Part of this financial flow comes directly from MILOSEVIC, but another part of it comes from CYPRUS, where "SLOBO" has several secret bank accounts. HALITI is also involved in the FENIKS Co. This company, which is based in Switzerland, is led by Quazim OSMONAJ, with Nazim HARADINAJ who is a very close business friend of HALITI. FENIKS has very close relations with the Islamic Jihad and the Turkish Islamic extremists. (J2X HUMINT SUMMARY - 06 OCT 00)	J2X	
12 Oct 00	DOI: 20001012 (S/REL KFOR) There are rumors about the full involvement of the influential businessman, Xhavit HALITI, in creating an illegal task force in charge of eliminating the most dangerous enemies of the PDK. These enemies, whose names have been entered into a "secret black book", are members of the LDK Party, influential figures of the local jet set community and some KPC representatives. The "task force" will be composed of around 100 K-Albanian and Albanian people	J2X	

39

SECRET Rel USA KFOR and NATO**'CIA's bastard army ran riot in Balkans' backed extremists'**

This article was published on guardian.co.uk at 22.58 GMT on Sunday 11 March 2001. It was first published at 22.58 GMT on Saturday 10 March 2001.


The United States secretly supported the ethnic Albanian extremists now behind insurgencies in Macedonia and southern Serbia.

The CIA encouraged former Kosovo Liberation Army fighters to launch a rebellion in southern Serbia in an effort to undermine the then Yugoslav President Slobodan Milosevic, according to senior European officers who served with the international peace-keeping force in Kosovo (K-For), as well as leading Macedonian and US sources.

They accuse American forces with K-For of deliberately ignoring the massive smuggling of men and arms across Kosovo's borders

The accusations were made in a series of interviews by The Observer. They emerge as America has been forced into a rapid U-turn over its support for Albanian extremists in Kosovo seeking a 'Greater Kosovo' that would include Albanian communities in Serbia and Macedonia.

The USA – The War Crimes



In this correspondence (from the Hilary Clinton archives) 'the President' refers to Bill CLINTON. This shows that the US / UK / NATO was demanding that FRY / SERBIA must agree to the RAMBOUILLET ACCORD – and hence surrender its sovereignty (including it having NATO troops stationed within its territory, totally unaccountable to FRY / SERBIA for their conduct) – otherwise the US / UK / NATO would launch military attacks against SERBIA and the SERBIAN people). It was an ultimatum justified by a fake 'narrative' – the so called 'KOSOVO genocide'.



The NATO attack on SERBIA (1999) was clearly illegal (indeed, a war crime under international law according, respectively, to the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, and the articles of the UN Charter). This illegality included (for example) the bombing of non-military / civilian targets, such as the cities of BELGRADE and NOVI SAD.

The US / NATO military repeatedly lied to the public that the SERBIAN military was being largely destroyed by air attacks by the Alliance. It wasn't. In actuality it was the failure of those actions that forced the US / NATO to re-direct attacks against Serbian civilian population centres – including (even) ethnic SERBIAN farms and hamlets within KOSOVO. It was the inability of Serbia to stop those attacks that forced it (eventually) to submit to US demands, and to withdraw from KOSOVO (in June 1999).

In January 2007 the IEP, acting under the auspices of The European Commission, produced a secret report for the BMVg (The GERMAN Federal Ministry of Defence).⁶ The IEP report contained recommendations on future EU development as a result of 'lessons learned' following the KOSOVO conflict. In particular, the IEP looked at the growth of organized crime (of the trafficking of people, drugs and weapons, and the incitement of violent conflict between ethnic groups) that followed the military intervention by NATO in KOSOVO / SERBIA – and especially the inability of the UNMIK and KFOR agencies to cope with the rapidly emerging (and supposedly unanticipated) state of lawlessness.

⁶ 'Security Sector Reform for The Western Balkans. Intelligent/Creative Approaches for a Positive Sustainable Development in the Region', produced by the Institute for European Politics (IEP), Berlin, 9th January 2007. The Institut für Europäische Politik (IEP) was founded in 1959, and is based in Berlin. The IEP is a strategic partner of The European Commission and is financially supported by it. Most importantly it is a major research organization that is able to influence both the development and implementation of policy for the European Union (EU). See: <http://iep-berlin.net/das-iep.html>

The US Military CAMP BONDSTEEL, Kosovo, and The CIA



The US military base CAMP BONDSTEEL was established in southern Kosovo in June 1999, following the surrender of SERBIA.

Increasingly the evidence has pointed toward that military base being used by US secret agencies (i.e. the CIA) for control and influence' operations within that region, in pursuance of further post-war unlawful activities.

IEP Institut für
Europäische Politik

Berlin, 9. Januar 2007

Studie zu dem Thema

**Operationalisierung von Security Sector Reform (SSR) auf dem Westlichen Balkan –
intelligente/kreative Ansätze für eine langfristig positive Gestaltung dieser Region**

VS – Nur für den Dienstgebrauch

den Fortbestand der teilweise mit erpresserischen und terroristischen Methoden operierenden Geheimdienste.

Rolle der USA kontraproduktiv und dringend nachsteuerungsbedürftig
Die in einigen Fällen dokumentierte Verstrickung der USA in die Fluchtaktivitäten von Kriminellen sowie die teils offene Behinderung europäischer Ermittlungsbemühungen machen selbst die US-amerikanische Führung für albanische Mitwisser/Mittäter politisch erpressbar. Auch die ernst gemeinte Beschreibung eines hochrangigen deutschen UN-Polizisten, dass es die Hauptaufgabe des stellvertretenden UNMIK-Chefs, Steve Schook, sei, „sich einmal die Woche mit Ramush Haradinaj zu betrinken“⁷, lässt Zweifel an den amerikanischen Zielen und Methoden wachsen. Darüber hinaus ist die eindeutig gegen die UN-Resolution 1244 verstoßende Militärausbildung des KPC seitens pentagonnaher US-Firmen als kontraproduktiv zu werten und hat ebenso eine Diskrepanz zwischen europäischen und amerikanischen Politikverständnissen offenbart wie die Existenz geheimer CIA-Gefangenenlager auf dem Gelände des Camp Bondsteel. Hoffnung auf einen Kurswechsel könnte hingegen die wachsende US-Einsicht in die eigene Bedrohung durch die albanophone OK sein, was ein Opportunitätsfenster für eine neue gemeinsame Kosovo-Strategie öffnen könnte.

From the official IEP / BMVg report: ***“Moreover, the values are clearly against the UN resolution 1244 in violation of military training of the KPC [the Kosovo Protection Corps, built on the former anti-SERBIA, pro-ALBANIA KLA] by Pentagon-linked U.S. companies, as well as counter-productive, and exposes the discrepancy between European and American political understanding that can be seen in the existence of a secret CIA detention facility in the grounds of Camp BONDSTEEL.”***⁷ Just why the CIA would be operating a “detention facility” is never explained (one of it's ‘enhanced interrogation’ / torture facility, perhaps?)

⁷ Source: INSTITUT FÜR EUROPÄISCHE POLITIK, Berlin, 9th January 2007. Marked ‘FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY’

KOSOVO, The CIA – and The US State Department

U.S. DEPARTMENT of STATE
ARCHIVE
Information released online prior to January 20, 2001

GO TO CURRENT STATE.GOV WEBSITE
Information released January 20, 2001 to present

The State Department web site below is a permanent electronic archive of information released online from January 1, 1997 to January 20, 2001. Please see www.state.gov for current material from the Department of State. Or visit <http://2001-2009.state.gov> for information from that period. Archive sites are not updated, so external links may no longer function. [Contact us](#) with any questions about finding information. NOTE: External links to other Internet sites should not be construed as an endorsement of the views contained therein.

Erasing History: Ethnic Cleansing in Kosovo


Report released by the U.S. Department of State,
Washington, DC,
May 1999

Rakos Italian
A site investigation was completed at one of three mass grave/exposed bodies sites in Rakos and the ICTY has confirmed finding 97 bodies at the completed investigation site. One body has been reported at the second site and ten bodies at the third site.

According to an 13 August 1999 Agence-France Press (AFP) report, UN experts exhumed a site near Rakos that contained 97 graves believed to be persons imprisoned in Dubrava Prison. The dates of the deaths were believed to be 25 to 27 May, shortly after NATO bombed the Dubrava Prison. According to a survivor, 19 people were killed in the NATO bombing, after which paramilitary forces came and killed an additional 130 people.

Ramoc Italian
A mass grave/exposed bodies site containing one body has been reported for Ramoc.

Ranca U.K.
A mass grave/exposed bodies site containing 13 bodies has been reported for Ranca.



A very important purpose of US Camp BONDSTEEL was to give US / NATO the means for promoting the (fake) propaganda narrative that SERBIA had been conducting genocide / 'ethnic cleansing' / mass murder against the ethnic Albanian population within KOSOVO. Such extraordinary claims were being thoroughly debunked within a mere year after the end of the SERBIA / KOSOVO (ALABANIA) conflict (i.e. by 2000).^{8 9 10 11} Much of this propaganda was emanating from the US State Department (see above), and was seemingly being created by (in part, at least) the CIA through activities 'in the field' such as that at Camp BONDSTEEL, KOSOVO.

⁸ As early as March 2000, Jiri Dienstbier, UN Special Rapporteur on Human Rights, delivered an official report to the UN which stated: "What the KLA [Kosovo Liberation Army] is doing in Kosovo has nothing to do with retaliation for what Serb authorities did. It is about the realization of a plan of ethnic cleansing, for destabilization of the entire region and creation of a Greater Albania". Report to The United Nations Commission for Human Rights, Jiri Dienstbier – The UN Special Rapporteur on Human Rights for former Yugoslavia, March 2000. Dr. Dienstbier is the former Spokesman for Charter 77, Czech Foreign Minister, and member of the Commission on Global Governance. From 1998 until 2001 Dr. Dienstbier served as Special Rapporteur of the United Nations Human Rights Commission for the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, Bosnia-Herzegovina and Croatia.

⁹ 'Serb "killings" exaggerated by the West – Claims of up to 100,000 ethnic Albanians massacred in Kosovo revised to under 3,000 as exhumation near end', Jonathan Steele, The Guardian, 18th August 2000

¹⁰ A claim subsequently (and thoroughly) debunked. See, for example 'John Pilger and Kosovo', Early Day Motion (EDM), UK House of Commons, 14th December 2004. This Parliamentary motion was sponsored by: Llew SMITH MP; Ronald CAMPBELL MP; Michael CLAPHAM MP; Jeremy CORBAN MP; and Tom COX MP.

¹¹ This was a part of US efforts to: (a) provide 'justification' for the war against SERBIA (and to provoke pro-war / anti-SERBIAN extreme hate); and (b) to conceal the rampant corruption and terrorist activities that was then (and had been) present within Albania.

Exposing the Criminality – [1]

VS - VERTRAULICH amtlich geheimgehalten		
<u>Inhaltsverzeichnis:</u>		
1.	Lagebild zu OK-Aktivitäten	3
1.1	Zu den Schmuggelrouten	4
1.2	Politische Zielsetzung der OK	4
1.3	Involvierung von OK-Strukturen in die Unruhen im März 2004 im Kosovo?	5
1.4	Problematik der Strafverfolgung von OK-Größen	5

: The German BND Report

2.4	Zu HARADINAJ (HAJRADINAJ) Ramush	22
2.4.1	OK-Aktivitäten und damit einhergehende Verbindungen im Einzelnen	23
2.5	Zu MUSTAFA Rrustem	24
2.5.1	OK-Aktivitäten	25
2.5.2	Bezug MUSTAFAs zur Sicherheitsfirma COBRA SECURITY in PRISTINA	25
3	Fazit und Ausblick	26

BND Analyse vom 22.02.2005 Seite 2 von 27

SECRET Rel USA KFOR and NATO

J2 SPECIAL PROJECTS

TARGET FOLDER



10 Mar 2004

1. IDENTIFICATION

1.1. Basic Info

: The US / KFOR / NATO Report ¹²

SECRET Rel USA KFOR and NATO

05 APR 00: Rumors indicate that he has collaborated with the UDB (Serb Intelligence Service). Informed the Serbs of arms 'shipments' destined for the FARK (that were close to Mr. RUGOVA), which had a strong struggle with UCK.

2.2. Political Background

He was connected to the increased intimidation of LDK members through the KSHIK. He is a Member of the PPDK Presidency and one of the Albanian Representatives in the Transitional Council.

He is PDK leader advisor, member of PDK Presidency and known as the blackmail coordinator. He has been identified as being active supporter of the Workers Party of Albania, in KOSOVO. He is the power behind Hasim THAQI controlling the PDK politician through financial means

¹² Source: 'J2 Special Projects – Target Folder for Mr. Xhavit HALITI', intelligence surveillance report for the Kosovo Stabilisation FORCE (KFOR), marked SECRET Rel USA KFOR and NATO, 10th March 2004

Exposing the Criminality – [2]

1 of 27



Parliamentary Assembly
Assemblée parlementaire

<http://assembly.coe.int>



COUNCIL
OF EUROPE
CONSEIL
DE L'EUROPE

[provisional version]
AS/Jur (2010) 46
 12 December 2010
 Ajdoc46 2010

Access the [final](#) version of this document

Committee on Legal Affairs and Human Rights

Inhuman treatment of people and illicit trafficking in human organs in Kosovo*¹

Report
 Rapporteur: Mr Dick Marty, Switzerland, Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe

A. Draft resolution

1. The Parliamentary Assembly was extremely concerned to learn of the revelations of the former Prosecutor at the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia (ICTY), who alleged that serious crimes had been committed during the conflict in Kosovo, including trafficking in human organs, crimes which had gone unpunished hitherto and had not been the subject of any serious investigation.
2. In addition, according to the former Prosecutor, these acts had been committed by members of the "Kosovo Liberation Army" (KLA) militia against Serbian nationals who had remained in Kosovo at the end of the armed conflict and been taken prisoner.
3. According to the information gathered by the Assembly and to the criminal investigations now under way, numerous concrete and convergent indications confirm that some Serbians and some Albanian Kosovars were held prisoner in secret places of detention under KLA control in northern Albania and were subjected to inhuman and degrading treatment, before ultimately disappearing.
4. Numerous indications seem to confirm that, during the period immediately after the end of the armed conflict, before international forces had really been able to take control of the region and re-establish a semblance of law and order, organs were removed from some prisoners at a clinic in Albanian territory, near Fushë-Krujë, to be taken abroad for transplantation.
5. This criminal activity, which developed with the benefit of the chaos prevailing in the region, at the initiative of certain KLA militia leaders linked to organised crime, has continued, albeit in other forms, until today, as demonstrated by an investigation being carried out by the European Union Rule of Law Mission in Kosovo (EULEX) relating to the Medicus clinic in Pristina.
6. Although some concrete evidence of such trafficking already existed at the beginning of the decade, the international authorities in charge of the region did not consider it necessary to conduct a detailed examination of these circumstances, or did so incompletely and superficially.


* All reference to Kosovo, whether to the territory, institutions or population, in this text shall be understood in full compliance with United Nations Security Council Resolution 1244 and without prejudice to the status of Kosovo.

¹ Draft resolution adopted unanimously by the Committee in Paris on 16 December 2010.

F – 67075 Strasbourg Cedex | e-mail: assembly@coe.int | Tel: + 33 3 88 41 2000 | Fax: +33 3 88 41 2776

Investigations (such as that shown above) have provided hard evidence of extreme and extensive unlawful, violently immoral, and perverse behaviour within KOSOVO and ALBANIA, by armed organized criminal gangs. Such activities will have been (and are) well known to the Western 'law enforcement' agencies, including EUROPOL and the US FBI.

KOSOVO / US Connection – Prior Research (2012)



The above 11-page research report, by myself, was completed (albeit not published) in April 2012.

By that date it had become very apparent that the primary purpose of the establishing of the huge US military base at Camp BONDSTEEL, KOSOVO in June 1999 (and especially in regard to the important CIA presence within that base) was to provide for US control of the (by then) extensive, illegal, gang-controlled trafficking operations of:


- (a) WEAPONS (i.e. conventional / bio / chemical, from former Warsaw Pact depots / dumps in Eastern Europe);
- (b) DRUGS (principally heroine and cocaine, from the Near East and the Middle East);
- (c) 'HARVESTED' HUMAN ORGANS (most especially, from children); and
- (d) PEOPLE (primarily young women and girls / children, for exploitation by the international sexual 'industry').

This was described (in part) within that earlier report.^{13 14}

¹³ See: www.darklake-synectics.co.uk/docs/perilous_enquiries.pdf

¹⁴ It was shortly after I had begun researching this particular matter (perhaps, in hindsight, rather naively and with far too much visibility) that the attacks against me (reputational / *ad hominem* attacks, efforts at entrapment, threatening and abusive phone calls, *et cetera*) began to greatly intensify.

The US CIA Bases in The UK



**European Infrastructure Consolidation
501st Combat Support Wing**

Overview:
The US Department of Defense announced the final results of the European Infrastructure Consolidation (EIC) review on 8 January 2015.

Under this EIC review, the Department of Defense has decided to realign several U.S. Air Forces in Europe-Air Forces Africa missions, in order to reduce excess infrastructure capacity. Within the EIC plan, the U.S. Air Force intends to consolidate intelligence centers, currently located at RAF Molesworth and supported by RAF Alconbury, to RAF Croughton.

Details:
Intelligence and support elements located at RAF Molesworth and RAF Alconbury, will relocate to RAF Croughton. These include the USEUCOM Joint Intelligence Operation Center-Europe Analytic Center (JAC), NATO Intelligence Fusion Centre (NIFC), and the U.S. Africa Command (USAFRICOM) J2-Molesworth.

This consolidation will eventually result in the closure of RAF Molesworth and RAF Alconbury, and the inactivation of the 501st Combat Support Wing in the 2021 timeframe.

The new Joint Intelligence Analytic Center (JIAC) at RAF Croughton will provide a single facility for all three intelligence missions currently located across 21 facilities at RAF Molesworth. The JIAC construction will recapitalize inadequate and inefficient intelligence analytic facilities at RAF Molesworth and provide purpose-built space to fully enable and modernize current intelligence missions.

In accordance with the European Infrastructure Consolidation actions, these missions are being consolidated, not eliminated. Consolidation of intelligence and support elements at RAF Croughton ensures continuation of the strong U.S. intelligence relationship with the UK and will result in effective consolidation efficiencies, greater cost savings, operational synergy and U.S.-UK-NATO intelligence collaboration advantages. These intelligence facilities are utilized by U.S., NATO and coalition forces to meet operational intelligence requirements of EUCOM, AFRICOM and several other U.S./NATO organizations.

An exact timeline for these movements is still under development. USAF will continue to engage with UK representatives to determine how best to conduct the realignments. It is expected the process will take approximately seven years.

RAF Croughton will continue to have no flying mission.

Background:

The facilities occupied by the intelligence missions in RAF Molesworth are not adequate and require complete re-capitalization. Relocating the intelligence centers presented an opportunity to generate significant long-term base operating support savings. The savings, coupled with the fact that these facilities were never intended to house the missions or amount of information that is processed, made economic sense for this move.

Local Impact:
The consolidation at RAF Croughton includes three phases of military construction funding including the intelligence missions and necessary support facilities. The first phase of construction is programmed to begin in Fiscal Year 2016. An exact timeframe will depend on a number of factors, including securing planning permissions from the local authority. It is estimated that the process will take approximately seven years.

RAF Croughton renovations and construction will take place over a number of years. In addition to the new intelligence facility, new construction will include medical and dental clinic (in progress), dormitory, commissary, fitness center and elementary and middle/high schools. A Base Exchange renovation, post office addition and Child Development Center addition are also expected.

Approximately \$418M will be invested at RAF Croughton to construct the new Intelligence Center and associated support facilities and infrastructure. By recapitalizing at RAF Croughton, we have the opportunity to consolidate and achieve approximately \$74 million per year in savings. An analysis of alternative locations for the new center found no better opportunities for recapitalizing these intelligence organizations and associated personnel.

This consolidation will affect approximately 1,450 personnel positions (U.S. service members, U.S. civilians and local national employees), with approximately 200 positions eliminated and approximately 1,250 positions relocated to RAF Croughton.

Coordinating, facilitating and executing these actions will not happen immediately. It is a slow process.

US – UK Relationship:
The US Air Force is strongly committed to the long-standing relationship with the UK – based on trust, mutual respect and the common goals of protecting our two nations' shared security and strategic interests.

The local community near RAF Croughton has been essential to the success of the mission, and we are grateful to have such a strong relationship.

Consolidation of intelligence and support elements at RAF Croughton ensures continuation of the strong U.S. intelligence relationship with the UK, and will result in, greater savings, operational efficiencies and U.S.-UK- NATO intelligence collaboration advantages.

As the EIC decisions are implemented, the USAF will continue to work with UK government leaders and local community to ensure actions are taken in an atmosphere of respect and understanding, in order to maintain the positive relationship we enjoy now, for the long term.

Note the huge investment by the US Government – of a projected \$418million – in the CROUGHTON 'project'.

The US Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) bases within the UK include the following: [1] CIA Intelligence Operations / Command Center / Communication Centre, 'RAF' (CIA) CROUGHTON, UK; [2] CIA Communication Centre, 'RAF' (CIA) BARTON ST. JOHN, UK; [3] 'RAF' FAIRFORD, Gloucestershire; and [4] The 'Grosvenor Street Complex', LONDON, UK (primarily post-1950, pre-2018).


These bases operate as sovereign US entities, effectively outside of UK jurisdiction, oversight and control. Their purpose is to protect and project US security and economic / political / military interests.

In early (February) 2017 US CIA Director, Michael POMPEO made a secret visit to UK MOD, FORT MONCKTON (GOSPORT, Hampshire). FORT MONCKTON was (and is) the main training base for the UK Secret Intelligence Service (MI6) – the UK Base where SIS and UK Special Operations Forces (SOF) train for, plan for, and deploy from, for their various covert operations, including: covert surveillance; 'stay behind' training; target identification; sabotage; political assassinations; extreme coercion (entrapment); and 'black ops'.¹⁵

Therefore the assessment was that there is a very high likelihood that by 2017 (at the very latest) that CIA Director Mike POMPEO had been made aware of GRU Colonel Sergei SKRIPAL's openly expressed support for: (1) RUSSIAN President Vladimir PUTIN; (2) for the RUSSIAN 2014 annexation of Crimea; and (3) of Sergei SKRIPAL's desire to return to RUSSIA. Further more, that this was relayed to Mike POMPEO, by the CIA London Office (see later).

It is also highly likely that by then (early 2017) Mike POMPEO (and the CIA) had been made aware of efforts being made by Sergei SKRIPAL to gather information on US / NATO 're-purposing' of former Warsaw Pact weapons (conventional, chemical and biological) that were (then) being obtained by the US / NATO from sources within Eastern European countries (principally the Baltic nations, BULGARIA, and the CZECH REPUBLIC) – with the intention of using such 're-purposed' munitions for extremely malfeasant reasons (including for 'false flag' atrocities within Europe).

¹⁵ "Stay Behind had the function of an anti-invasion structure. It existed in the UK, France, the Benelux countries, Norway and Denmark, and there were four similar but independent organisations in Switzerland, Austria, Sweden and Finland. The headquarters of all the Stay Behind organisations was in the UK ... The British gave us a hand. The HQ of all the Stay Behind networks was in Cornwall [sic. – clearly, this is a mistaken reference by COSSIGA to Fort Monckton, Hampshire, UK], at the training school of the Intelligence Service." Conversations With Francesco Cossiga', by Alison Jamieson, Bulletin of Italian Politics, Vol. No 2, 2010, 141-162. Downloaded from: http://www.gla.ac.uk/media/media_191039_en.pdf - pages 18 and 19


The 1st March 2018 CIA (Mike POMPEO) Email, and Correspondence

Source: American Oversight organization, americanoversight.org, documentcloud.org and archive.org

The (above) 1st March 2018 email was an “*urgent request*” by (it would appear) three (CIA?) persons to “*meet urgently*” with the (then) CIA Director, Mike POMPEO.¹⁶ Obviously, that email was in regard to new intelligence that presented a “*very promising opportunity*” (for the CIA) to exploit. Urgent requests of that kind appear to have been extremely rare.

However, despite that email correspondence having been heavily redacted, a great deal of useful information can be gleaned from both its existence, from the timing, and from the un-redacted content.

¹⁶ Source: American Oversight organization, americanoversight.org, documentcloud.org and archive.org

The 2nd March 2018 CIA (Mike POMPEO) Email, and Correspondence

Email scans source: American Oversight organization, americanoversight.org, documentcloud.org and archive.org

The significance of the timeline is therefore: (1) the 1st March 'Urgent / Opportunity' email message to CIA Director Mike POMPEO (see previous); and (2) the 2nd March CIA top-level emergency meeting (Mike POMPEO and others) being organized from 05:00 hours local EST time (i.e. 10:00 hours local UK time) – and onwards. And, again, it should be said that despite having been heavily redacted, much useful information can be gleaned from that email correspondence.

Based on substantive circumstantial analysis, the assessment is therefore that the attendees at that CIA 2nd March emergency meeting (that was presumably held at Langley, VA) will have included (either in person, or via telephone / video conference-call connections): (1) CIA Director Mike POMPEO; (2) CIA Deputy Director Gina HASPEL; and (3) non-identified senior officers, within CIA Clandestine Services. Obviously the meeting would have concerned the need for serious and prompt operational actions by the CIA.

The 4th March 2018 SALISBURY 'Nerve Agent' Poisonings



On the afternoon (at approximately 16:00 hours) of the 2nd March 2018 two Russian citizens – Alexander PETROV and Ruslan BOSHIROV – arrived in the UK. Notably, this occurred just 6 hours after the need was seen by the CIA for an urgent, top-level, emergency meeting at Langley VA (see previous).

On the 3rd March Yulia SKRIPAL arrived in the UK (at approximately 14:40 hours), and was met by her father Sergei SKRIPAL and Sergei's close friend Ross CASSIDY. Notably, CASSIDY subsequently insisted that they were followed by two people (a man and a woman) in a black BMW, on the drive back to Salisbury from Heathrow Airport.

On the 4th March, PETROV and BOSHIROV met with Sergei SKRIPAL for a 1 hour 20 minute meeting at Sergei SKRIPAL's house in Salisbury. During their visit to Salisbury, on that day, PETROV and BOSHIROV can be seen (from analysis of released CCTV recordings) to have been under very close surveillance by at least two persons (at least one on foot). PETROV and BOSHIROV were clearly aware (albeit seemingly unconcerned) that they were being followed.

Some 2 hours after PETROV and BOSHIROV had taken a train back to London, Sergei and Yulia SKRIPAL were found, collapsed on a park bench in central Salisbury, after having been poisoned by a "toxic chemical compound".¹⁷

This (therefore) points to the CIA having had some prior knowledge of the covert operation would culminate in the attempted murder of Sergei SKRIPAL and daughter Yulia SKRIPAL in the city of Salisbury in ENGLAND, on the 4th March 2018 – and that, furthermore, the CIA may have had an active part in that horrific incident. This assessment is the inevitable conclusion drawn from an inspection of official US Government documents (top-level CIA email transcripts, Langley VA) posted at that time (i.e. from the beginning of March 2018 – see previously).

Both Yulia SKRIPAL and Sergei SKRIPAL reportedly recovered from the poisoning – however Colonel Sergei SKRIPAL has never been seen since that incident in Salisbury 5 years ago (not even in the form of a video interview).

¹⁷ See: www.darklake-synectics.co.uk/docs/inquiry_2018_salisbury.pdf

The Presumed Principal CIA Actors (1st / 2nd March 2018)

Mike POMPEO – CIA Director (March 2018)



Gina HASPEL – CIA Deputy Director (March 2018)



Michael RAOILE – CIA London Chief (March 2018)



Daniel HOFFMAN – CIA Clandestine Services

At the time of the 1st / 2nd March 2018 CIA emails, Gina HASPEL was the CIA Deputy Director – whilst Michael RAOILE was the CIA London Station Chief (serving in that position from 2017 to 2020).

Previously Gina HASPEL had been the London Station Chief over two separate periods – from 2008 to 2011, and from 2014 to 2017. This therefore covered the period from when Sergei SKRIPAL was (following the 9th July 2010 US / UK / RUSSIA spy-swap) released from prison in RUSSIA and had moved to the UK (Salisbury), through to just one year before the poisonings of Sergei and Yulia SKRIPAL in Salisbury.

That 2010 spy-swap was carried out under the auspices of the CIA Moscow Station Chief Daniel HOFFMAN. Both Daniel HOFFMAN and Gina HASPEL had been employed in senior positions of the CIA Clandestine Services section at the same time, and are reported to have been close (working) friends.

Given the above, it is seen as highly likely that HASPEL, HOFFMAN and RAOILE will all have taken a keen personal interest in Sergei SKRIPAL's exile within the UK – that, therefore, they all will have known of his increasing personal isolation (in regard to the death of his mother, and of his son), and of the rumors of his wish to finally go back to RUSSIA. And, most especially, there can be little doubt that they will have been were aware of Sergei SKRIPAL's admiration for Vladimir PUTIN (in regard to events in UKRAINE, especially) and of his support for the RUSSIAN annexation of CRIMEA.¹⁸

Undoubtedly, such sentiments – and especially when expressed by such an important figure such as Sergei SKRIPAL – will have been seen as not only totally abhorrent by the upper echelons of the US political / military / intelligence establishment, but as a serious threat (albeit one of many) to the global ambitions of Washington DC State Apparatus.

On the 13th March 2018 the promotion of POMPEO to the position of US Secretary of State was announced – as was (subsequently) the promotion of Gina HASPEL from CIA Deputy Director to the position of CIA Director. The promotion of Gina HASPEL to CIA Director was not without a considerable amount of controversy - over the last five years there have been widespread allegations that, during her years as a senior and influential figure within the CIA, Gina HASPEL had had a leading role in the CIA's use of enhanced interrogation (torture) at (at least) one CIA 'black site'.¹⁹

¹⁸ As reported by BBC journalist Mark URBAN. And as cited in: www.darklake-synectics.co.uk/docs/inquiry_2018_salisbury.pdf

¹⁹ For example: (1) 'Declassified cables reveal CIA torture at site once run by now chief Gina Haspel – News', Andrew Buncombe, The Independent on Sunday, 11th August 2018; (2) 'CIA nominee Haspel declines to condemn torture as "immoral" – WORLD | WEEK IN REVIEW', The Financial Times, 12th May 2018; (3) 'Concerns were raised over ill-treatment of detainees', Amrit Singh (Senior Lawyer, Open Society Justice Initiative, New York), Letters page, Financial Times, 24th March 2018; (4) 'CIA deputy supervised jail torture', Michael Evans, The Times, 4th February 2017.

END