

Those Who Destroy an Anti-genocide Movement – (or try to)

By Tony Shell May 2019

A particular matter that has greatly alarmed the UK State has been the emergence and the growth of a popular, youth-orientated, 'European identity' movement, over the last ten years.

This movement appears to have had its roots in Génération Identitaire, started in France in 2002. It subsequently gained traction in various forms, for example: European Traditionalism; This Is Europa; European Awakening; Europa Rising; Generation Europa; and most especially Generation Identity (GI).

This movement contained a common, major concern, defined as 'The Great Replacement'.

In particular, a website called Majority Rights appears to have been developing and promoting a European 'White genocide' narrative from as early as May 2009. However the 'White Genocide Evidence, Majority Rights Project' website pages didn't appear until March 2011.

On the 15th May 2013 an English translation of a 'manifesto' by the founder of Génération Identitaire, Markus Willinger, titled 'Generation Identity: A Declaration of War Against the 68-ers', was published by Arktos Press. One week later a Stop White Genocide channel was created on YouTube. This was followed in that same year by other published articles following much the same 'European Identity' theme.^{1 2}

It is now clear that the emergence of GI within the UK, and its subsequent growth in popular support and activism, resulted in efforts by the UK State and by State-supported groups to "*discredit, destabilise and destroy*" GI – including by covert means involving the creation and use of bogus neo-Nazi associations.

The organization Hope Not Hate (headed by Mr Nicholas LOWLES) informs us that the neo-Nazi group National Action was created sometime in late 2013.³ My own research indicates this to have been in September 2013 – i.e. approximately four months after GI was introduced into the UK.⁴

In this regard, there is this, retrieved from comments by the leader of National Action posted on a right-wing forum: "*First, National Action was never anything to do with the New Right or the 'intellectual' counter currents talk shop. It is self organised and self funded by fascists with no association with the wider movement or this gay 'dark enlightenment' thing. We can say this because we were founded in violent opposition to the prospect of a poorly executed British 'generation identity' clone.*"

¹ 'A Europe of Regions and Identity', Traditional Britain Group, YouTube, 28th October 2013

² 'We Are Generation Identity', Edition Ideas, 9th November 2013

³ As reported by Hope Not Hate, source: <http://www.hopenothate.org.uk/hate-groups/na/>

⁴ For example, the National Action website was first registered on the 21st September 2013

He goes on to expound that purpose (behind the formation of National Action): “*Secondly the most exceptional care was taken to warn against any attempt to ‘copy’ similar youth groups in Europe.*”^{5 6}

So, we have an admission by of one of the notorious ‘co-founders’ of National Action that its primary goal was to commit/incite *violent opposition*” to the emergence of Generation Identity (GI) in Britain.

It is especially interesting (and is seen as very significant) that the phrase “*we were founded*” was used, rather than “*we founded*”. It is a ‘slip of the tongue’ that reveals something rather interesting – that there was a ‘higher authority’ involved in the creation of National Action (for the purpose of attacking GI).⁷

This appears to have been a major blunder by one of the (appointed) leaders of National Action.

As we will see, the “*violent opposition*” to GI was to be achieved by proxy – by inciting hate and violence against GI members and GI events, by expropriating GI campaign issues as forms of neo-Nazi ideology.

In March 2014 an article published by the left-wing newspaper Huffington Post described in some detail the purported political ideology and activities of National Action. Notably (again) this appeared only about six months after National Action had reportedly been founded.⁸

It is also evident that a more general purpose of National Action appears has been to discredit and violently disrupt (either directly through its own actions, or by the agitation-to-violence of so-called ‘anti-fascist activists’) all conservative, nationalist, and anti-terrorism protest groups and organizations.⁹

On the 17th August 2014 a report was published by the ‘anti-racism’ organization Searchlight titled ‘White genocide and the British far right’.¹⁰ This was a major report, written by Dr. Paul JACKSON, for presentation at the Searchlight 50th Anniversary Conference, being held at the University of Northampton.

This was part of the work within the University of Northampton, by the Radicalism and New Media Group (RNM Group) under Dr. Paul JACKSON (and others). In 2011 the UK National Public Order Intelligence Unit (NPOIU), of the Metropolitan Police (MET), commissioned the RNM Group to undertake research relating to public protest.¹¹ It is known that at that time, the RNM Group was also attempting to forge links

⁵ ‘National Action Protests? - Anyone Familiar with This’, posted by Jackbootsharman, Vanguard News Network Forum, 21st April 2014, source: <http://vnnforum.com/showthread.php?t=176545&page=2>

⁶ Although the author of that post – ‘Jackbootsharman’ – has been identified elsewhere as Alex Davies, it is almost certainly to have been co-founder Benjamin Raymond. The poster refers to himself as “Ben”, and the material is more in Raymond’s style.

⁷ The corollary of this would be Bill Gates or Paul Allen saying of Microsoft: “*We [Microsoft] were founded [to develop software products]*”; instead of “*We founded [Microsoft] to ...*” – it just wouldn’t make sense.

⁸ ‘Meet New Neo-Nazi Group National Action Which Just Wants To “Piss People Off”’, Lucy Sherriff, Huffington Post UK, 6th March 2014

⁹ See, for example, from: ‘Proscribing National Action: Considering The Impact Of Banning The British Far-Right Group’, Chris Allen, Birmingham University, 2017 – page 3 *et al.*

¹⁰ ‘White genocide and the British far right’, Searchlight’s 50th Anniversary Conference, Paul Jackson, Searchlight, The University of Northampton, published on the 17th August 2014, source: <http://www.searchlightmagazine.com/archive/white-genocide-and-the-british-far-right>

¹¹ Letter to the author of this report, from the Deputy Assistant Commissioner of Special Operations, SO15 Counter Terrorism Command, 20th December 2011. This was in response to a letter from the author, sent to the MET, on the 9th December 2011.

with other UK State agencies, including the Office for Security and Counter Terrorism (OSCT) and the Joint Terrorism Analysis Centre (J-TAC). Political groups that the RNM Group has linked to include the US-based (and now much discredited) Southern Poverty Law Center (SPLC), the US-based Political Research Associates (PRA), and the UK-based Searchlight organization.¹²

The connection between the RNM group and the left-extremist PRA is of special interest. A major figure in the PRA, John Foster Chip BERLET attended a RNM Group conference as a principle speaker, in June 2009. His panel contribution was ‘Coded Anti-Semitism and the Jeremiah Duggan Case’, in regard to the conference topic of ‘Speaking With Forked Tongues: The Rhetoric of Right-Wing Extremism Today’¹³

Just three days after the murderous attacks by Anders Breivik in Oslo and Utøya, Chip Berlet as senior analyst for Political Research Associates and close associate of the RNM Group, connected that cruel slaughter of 77 innocent people to the “*Christian Right*”, to a “*reconstituted and traditionalist Catholic Church*” and to “*European Christendom*”.¹⁴

It was not only entirely incorrect but also stupidly irresponsible. Why did he feel inclined toward that particular conclusion? We now know that Anders Breivik was contemptuous of Christians and Christianity. He was, however, an ardent Zionist and a fervent admirer of the Jewish people and of Israel.^{15 16 17}

It is clear that the RNM has a particular interest in the characteristics and history genocide – but seemingly with the exception of any forms of genocide that may be applicable as applied to a majority, native (i.e. White) population in Europe.¹⁸ This is very odd, given that the RNM references, as sources, both Dr. Raphael LEMKIN (the originator of the word ‘genocide’) and the United Nations – both of which actually describe the methodology of just such a possible process (re. elimination of the native Europeans).^{19 20 21}

¹² ‘MET Police Outsourcing Security Policy Decisions to Un-vetted University Group?’, Tony Shell, The UK Column (independent newspaper), March 2012, page 9

¹³ RNM, Park Campus, The University of Northampton, 26th June 2009

¹⁴ See: ‘Analysing Breivik’s Ideology with Social Network Research’, by Chip Bertlet, e-Extreme, Volume 12 No 3 October 2011; and ‘Breivik and His Enablers’, by Roger Cohen, The New York Times, 25th July 2011, reference source: <http://www.nytimes.com/2011/07/26/opinion/26iht-edcohen26.html>

¹⁵ In 2013 Dr Amy Zalman observed: “*Or the case of Anders Breivik, who as you know, was motivated by a complicated ideological cocktail of Islamophobia, anti-feminism and a self-styled version of Zionism, when he murdered 77 people in Oslo several years ago.*” Given that this was part of a dialogue with The Swedish Defence College, it is certain that such knowledge was also shared with the Swedish security service (SÄPO). Dr Zalman has worked for, or worked in collaboration with, various US Government and international agencies, including: the US Army War College (Washington DC); The National Intelligence University (Proteus USA); US Special Operations Command (SOCOM, Tampa, USA); SAIC (Leidos); and NATO. Source: ‘National Strategy in a Maturing Information Age’, by Dr. Amy Zalman, US DoD National War College, Washington DC, keynote presentation to The Swedish Defence College, 21st October 2013.

¹⁶ The world-renowned philosopher Slavoj Žižek concluded that was a recent creation of a European ‘pro-Zionist anti-Semite’ – a rather peculiar combination for Žižek to assume. Source: as cited in ‘Anders Breivik and The Rise of Islamophobia’, by Sindre Bangstad, Zed Books Ltd., London 2014, page 105

¹⁷ For a full account of these matters, see: www.darklake-synectics.co.uk/index-files/oslo_and_utoya.pdf

¹⁸ ‘Genocide in the Modern World’, by Dr. Matthew Feldman, working paper, Radicalism and New Media (RNM), The University of Northampton, Vol. 1, N° 1, May 2011

¹⁹ “*More often it [genocide] refers to a coordinated plan aimed at destruction of the essential foundations of the life of national groups so that these groups wither and die like plants that have suffered a blight. The end may be accomplished by the forced disintegration of political and social institutions, of the culture of the people, of their language, their national feelings and their religion. It may be accomplished by wiping out all basis of personal security, liberty, health and dignity.*” Source: ‘Genocide – A

Instead of which, the Searchlight /RNM report attempted to promote the notion that the growth of a ‘White genocide’ narrative (in Europe) as no more than part of a covert “*neo-Nazi discourse*”. It also represented the “*white identitarian*” critics of multiculturalism as those wishing to pursue the Hitlerism of “*racial purity*”.

It was in such terms that any concerns native Europeans may have for the future of Europe (and, especially of its people) were dismissed in an especially vulgar and offensive manner.

On the 9th October 2014 (a little over a year after National Action was reportedly formed) a ‘researcher’ for Hope Not Hate named as ‘Duncan CAHILL’ produced an article that used various unsubstantiated allegations regarding the activities of a former chairman of the British National Party (BNP) in an attempt to create a bogus connection between National Action, the British National Party (BNP) and the organization Generation Identity (GI) – and, in the process, describing GI as “*mainly angry young men who carry out militant activities against Muslims and the Jewish community*”.^{22 23}

This was extremely bizarre, given that it is precisely contrary to the actual evidence (see above).

Just two days later (11th October 2014) a meeting was held in Preston in which part of the purpose was very obviously (and in particular) to introduce Alex DAVIES of National Action to current and former members of the British National Party (BNP) and the National Front (NF).

What is indicated (from other sources) is that leading activists/founders of National Action were very much involved with the promotion of the #OccupyNationalism campaign.²⁴ Between January 2015 and May 2015 an individual identifying himself as ‘NaTommyJohnson’ posted a number of ‘tweets’. ‘Tommy JOHNSON’ was an alias used by Mr Ashley BELL – one of the leading figures in National Action.²⁵

It is very evident that ‘NaTommyJohnson’ (Ashley BELL) used his Twitter account as a vehicle to fabricate bogus ideological links between the neo-Nazi National Action group and individuals such as (in particular)

Modern Crime’, by Dr. Raphael Lemkin, Free World magazine, Vol.4, April 1945, pages 39-43

²⁰ Dr. Lemkin’s report also provided an important review of the primary techniques of genocide. Those techniques of genocide identified by Dr. Lemkin include: the partitioning of previously unified countries into administrative regions to destroy political cohesion; attacking the existing cultural structure so as to weaken national resolve and obliterate former cultural patterns; the use of schools for the political indoctrination of children and infants; the undermining of the spiritual power of the established Church; the promotion of pornography, alcohol and gambling so as to create moral debasement within the national group; the destruction of the industrial infrastructure and economic independence of the country; and the use of various means to reduce the birthrate of the targeted people. Source: ‘Genocide – A Modern Crime’, by Dr. Raphael Lemkin, Free World magazine, Vol.4, April 1945, pages 39-43, ‘Techniques of Genocide’

²¹ “*Any of a number of acts committed with the intent to: destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnic, racial or religious group; deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part; imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group, and forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.*” UN Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide, New York, 9th December 1948

²² ‘Griffin throws in a French towel’, by Duncan Cahill, The Insider’s Blog, Hope Not Hate, 9th October 2014.

²³ It is noted that in November 2018 ‘Duncan CAHILL’ of Hope Not Hate published a report with seemingly the intention of destroying the livelihood of a young woman – and putting her in fear for her safety. It was done as a response to her choosing to post (on Facebook) a photo of herself posing alongside Mr Tommy ROBINSON, and supposedly associating herself with ‘far right’ people and organizations (including UKIP). Source: ‘Sellers Slip-up Reveals Manchester Marketeer’s Far Right Sympathies’, by Duncan Cahill, Hope Not Hate, 21st November 2018.

²⁴ As revealed, for example, in the ‘European Knight’ in the ‘theapricity.com’ on-line forum.

²⁵ According to Hope Not Hate.

Nigel FARAGE (MEP) and Katie HOPKINS (as in the meme “*Heil Hopkins*”). And this had been done via journalists employed by left-wing mainstream media outlets such as VICE (UK) magazine.

The fact is that the linking of Nigel FARAGE and Katie HOPKINS to an extreme, anti-Semitic, neo-Nazi organization could be used to effectively discredit, vilify, and promote hate (and possibly extreme/murderous violence) against those particular individuals. In this regard, it should be noted that Katie HOPKINS has been subject to numerous highly abusive comments and threats. She has also been the target of a plot to kidnap and murder her because of her criticisms of Islam and mass immigration.

Most interestingly ‘NaTommyJohnson’ re-tweeted a post by the VICE UK journalist James POULTER, emphasising (yet again) the extreme anti-Semitic nature of National Action.²⁶ That re-tweet was made on the same day ‘NaTommyJohnson’ also posted his supposed support for Katie HOPKINS.

Again, it is seen that ‘NaTommyJohnson’ (identified as Ashley BELL) chose to use a far-left source (Mr James POULTER) to ‘authenticate’ the neo-Nazi group National Action. Notably, Mr POULTER has produced numerous articles promoting a narrative that the activities of groups such as the English Defence League (EDL), Football Lads Alliance (FLA) and Generation Identity (GI) supposedly share the same far-right ideology.

Equally of note is Mr POULTER’s reference to Katie HOPKINS as a “*far right*”, “*rent-a-racist*”.²⁷

In September 2015, BBC TV published a 10-minute video interview with the then leader of the (still miniscule) group National Action, Benjamin RAYMOND.²⁸ The purpose was clearly to give credence as to the *bona-fide* nature of that avowedly neo-Nazi organization – and presumably to help promote that organization’s purported ideological beliefs and its ‘direct action’ intentions.

On the 28th November 2018 I wrote to the BBC, asking them to provide me with details of all payments (or of ‘payments in kind’) made by the BBC to past or present members of, or representatives for, the neo-Nazi organization National Action.

The BBC refused to provide that information, claiming exemption under the FOIA 2000.²⁹

Almost all of the public information concerning the professed ideology, membership, activities and links of National Action – information that has appeared extensively in the UK and global mainstream media – has come from the organization Hope Not Hate.^{30 31 32}

²⁶ From the Twitter posts of James Poulter – on @jdpoulter.

²⁷ From <http://www.huckmagazine.com/perspectives/reportage-2/rebel-media-katie-hopkins/> - source: <https://muckrack.com/james-poulter/articles>

²⁸ ‘Radicals: I’m a proud racist’, BBC TV, 3rd September 2015, source: <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-34119417>

²⁹ In a letter received from the BBC on the 10th December 2018 – reference n° RF120182256.

³⁰ Hope Not Hate (HnH), under the stewardship of Nicholas Lowels, is a registered UK charity, operating under the name Hope Not Hate Trust. It was formally the registered charity Searchlight Educational Trust (SET). Notably, its accounts show that it receives substantial financial backing from the highly secretive Stiftung Open Society organization (based in Zug, Switzerland) that reportedly is used to transfer funds from unmanned third-party organizations.

In early 2016 members of National Action performed an especially sick stunt – of photographing themselves doing Nazi salutes, and displaying their neo-Nazi flag, in (what they referred to) as the “*killing room*” at the Buchenwald Nazi death camp in Germany.

However, such appalling behaviour is, in itself, highly indicative of National Action being a bogus ‘neo-Nazi’ group. Real neo-Nazi groups (and individuals) almost invariably attempt to deny that the Holocaust ever happened. Here we see, instead, National Action attempting to promulgate the notion that native Europeans are potentially and preferably inclined toward genocide of the ‘other’. Notably, this is precisely the same narrative promoted by the more extreme sections of the far-left, anti-White political class.

It is very evident that Hope Not Hate is highly regarded by the UK political establishment as a primary source regarding the activities of supposed ‘far right’ extremist individuals and groups – in November 2016 Nicholas LOWLES of Hope Not Hate was invited by a Parliamentary Committee to give evidence regarding his organization’s own research into hate crime and hate groups (such as National Action).³³

On the 16th December 2016 the Home Office announced that the neo-Nazi organization National Action had been proscribed as a terrorist group – describing it as “*a racist, anti-Semitic, and homophobic organization which stirs up hatred, glorifies violence and promotes a vile ideology.*”³⁴

In early 2017 the UK State made Nicholas LOWLES (as founder and head of Hope Not Hate) a Member of the British Empire (MBE) as a reward for his “*services to community cohesion and combatting extremism*”.

It has been reported that the co-founders of National Action (Benjamin RAYMOND and Alex DAVIES) had both been arrested and questioned by the South Wales Police sometime in 2017, but that neither had been charged with any offences.³⁵

It appears National Action was operating according to the same script promulgated for very many years by the ‘progressive’ left, by Islamic groups, and by mainstream media – that those persons and organizations protesting against Islamic terrorism, Muslim child rape gangs, and mass immigration, have a predilection for neo-Nazism, Hitler-ism, fascism, extreme anti-Semitism, and genocide.³⁶

In a report by Hope Not Hate, regarding the supposed purpose behind the formation of National Action, this extraordinary statement is to be found: “*Ostensibly it [National Action] is a neo-Nazi group with a*

³¹ The exceptions apparently being the ITV broadcaster, and the Mirror newspaper

³² The head of Hope Not Hate (Nick Lowles) attended the launch of the Tell Mama UK organization in February 2012. ‘Reporting anti-Muslim Attacks’, Nick Lowles, Hope Not Hate, 21st February 2012.

³³ ‘Hate Crime and Its Violent Consequences’, HC 609, House of Commons Home Affairs Committee, 15th November 2016.

³⁴ ‘National Action becomes first extreme right-wing group to be banned in UK’, The Home Office press release, 16th December 2016, source: <http://www.gov.uk>

³⁵ This is included as additional comment on the reports on court convictions, November 2018 of Adam Thomas and Claudia Patatas (a young married couple, with a baby). Source: ‘National Action: The New Parents and The neo-Nazi Terror Threat’, by Daniel De Simon, BBC, 12th November 2018.

³⁶ ‘Meet New Neo-Nazi Group National Action Which Just Wants To “Piss People Off”’, Lucy Sherriff, Huffington Post UK, 6th March 2014

*hatred for Jews and liberal, democratic and progressive society.”*³⁷

It would therefore appear that Hope Not Hate has actually suspected (or, perhaps more likely, has had direct evidence of) National Action being a bogus organization.^{38 39}

Which would beg the question: if National Action was none of the above, then what was it? And why would the highly cited Hope Not Hate organization have continued to promulgate the view of National Action being a *bona fide* neo-Nazi group if it believed otherwise? What purpose could this possibly have served?

In February 2018, the retiring head of UK Counter Terrorism (Assistant Commissioner Mark ROWLEY) spoke of a growing extremist terrorist threat within the UK: *“I can tell you that over the same period [since the Islamic terrorist attack in Westminster] police have been able to prevent a further four extreme, right wing inspired plots in the UK ... it is important we make these figures public in order to illustrate the growth of right-wing extremism ... For the first time we have a home-grown proscribed white supremacist, neo-Nazi terror group, which seeks to plan attacks and build international networks.”*^{40 41}

The “neo-Nazi terror group” referred to by Assistant Commissioner Mark ROWLEY is of course (or, rather, was) the group Nation Action.

Obviously the banning order on National Action by the Home Office very effectively prevented any proper further investigations, by independent researchers, into that especially bizarre organization. The banning order certainly made sense from a political point of view, but not in terms of crime prevention – the miniscule (and rapidly declining) National Action was already effectively being closed down by arrests and convictions as a response to the unlawful behaviour of its members (threats, incitement, violence etc.).

Most notably it would appear that all the arrests, charges and convictions in connection with National Action were (and are) limited to the ‘low hanging fruit’ (including after the HO banning order) – they have not included actions against the people within (or connected to) that organization who have been involved to its creation, ideological propaganda, financing, strategy or overall operations. Why not?

However those behind the creation of National Action would most certainly know that English law provides little in the way of defence from entrapment (by *agent provocateurs*, or by malicious individuals).^{42 43}

³⁷ ‘Briefing: National Action’, Hope Not Hate, source: <http://www.hopenothate.org.uk/features/national-action/>

³⁸ “ostensible /oˈstensib(a)l/ adj. concealing the real. ...” source: The Concise Oxford Dictionary (1990).

³⁹ This appears to have been what is generally referred to as a ‘Freudian Slip’ (parapraxis). The word more appropriate to the context of the whole article would have been ‘avowedly’ – as in the phrase: “*National Action is avowedly a neo-Nazi organization.*”

⁴⁰ ‘Far-right terror threat “growing” in the UK as four plots foiled’, by Daniel Sandford, home affairs correspondent, BBC News, 26th February 2018.

⁴¹ ‘The Key Difference Between The Far Right and The Islamists’, Charles Moore, The Spectator, March 2018, source: <https://blogs.spectator.co.uk/2018/03/the-key-difference-between-the-far-right-and-the-islamists/>

⁴² “*Although English Law has never recognized a defence of entrapment, entrapment may be a mitigating factor and a ground for excluding evidence; R v Looseley; Attorney General’s Reference (No 3 of 2000) (2002). See Andrew Ashworth, ‘Re-drawing the boundaries of entrapment’ [2002] Crim LR 161-79.*” Source: ‘The English Legal System’ 7th Edition, Gary Slapper and David Kelly, Cavendish Publishing, London, 2004. Page 394.

In August 2018 BBC TV published a documentary titled 'The Rise of the Extreme Far-Right in Britain'.⁴⁴ Notably, that BBC documentary included a video of National Action 'march' in which approximately twenty young men, masked, dressed in black, and performing Nazi salutes, were escorted through an English town by a large contingent of police officers. It was a highly theatrical performance by all those involved. Unfortunately it gives every indication of being a 'staged' event, with the active collaboration of the police.

That documentary featured (prominently) the rise, and activities of the National Action group within the UK. Contributors included the former head of UK Counter Terrorism, Mark ROWLEY (yet again, see above) and Hope Not Hate 'Head of Intelligence' Matthew COLLINS.

Notably, the 'Head of Intelligence' for Hope Not Hate, Mathew COLLINS was, up until the early 1990s, a violent member of the neo-Nazi group Combat 18. He moved to Australia sometime *circa* 1993 after (we are told) he turned 'whistle-blower', and his experiences in Combat 18 were published by Searchlight (the progenitor organisation of Hope Not Hate). Much has been made of the claim that COLLINS fled to Australia, supposedly to avoid violent retribution from Combat 18, and not returning to the UK until 2004.

There is for example, this harrowing description: "*After five gruelling years as an informer for Searchlight ... the police special branch put him on a plane for Melbourne, where he remained in hiding for 10 lonely years ... He stayed out of politics, worked at a series of go-for jobs and drank.*"⁴⁵ And also this: "*Collins remained in this country [Australia] for ten years, picking up a slight accent ... Eventually, feeling lonely and disconnected from his family in London, he returned to Britain.*"⁴⁶

However, he was actually back in the UK in 1999 to gather background material for an article (a biography of Gerald Gable, the founder of Searchlight Magazine) to be published, in his own name, in the Australia-Israel Review.⁴⁷ It appears that he was also doing other research work in regard to the political scene in Australia, for the Australia-Israel Review, for publication worldwide (and, yet again, in his own name).⁴⁸

Hardly, one might suppose, the behaviour of someone in desperate, fearful hiding, "*staying out of politics*".

What makes the above account of Matthew COLLIN's association with Combat 18 (and subsequent fleeing the country because of his 'whistle blowing', supposedly fearing for his life) all the more bizarre

⁴³ "*In R v Sang (1979), the House of Lords took a restrictive view of the discretion, holding that it could not be used to exclude evidence merely on the basis that it was given by an agent provocateur. The defendant claimed he had been induced to commit an offence by an informer acting on the instructions of the police. All the judges ruled that there was no defence of entrapment in English law. ... This reasoning has been reconfirmed by the Court of Appeal in R v Spurthwaite and Gill (1993).*" **Note: my emphasis.** Source: Source: 'The English Legal System' 7th Edition, Gary Slapper and David Kelly, Cavendish Publishing, London, 2004. Page 394.

⁴⁴ 'The Rise of the Extreme Far-right in Britain – BBC Newsnight', BBC Newsnight, 17th August 2018 – source: <https://youtube.com/watch?v=jcYnstBgC8M>

⁴⁵ 'Hatred's Child', by John Elder, The Sydney Morning Herald, 16th October 2011.

⁴⁶ 'The Undercover Fascist', by Ed Ceasar, The New Yorker, 20th May 2019.

⁴⁷ 'Smashing Against The Rocks', Matthew Collins, the AIJC, 29th January – 28th February 1999, The Australia Israel Review is a publication of the Australia/Israel & Jewish Affairs Council. Source: <http://www.aijac.org.au/review/1999/242/gable.html>

⁴⁸ 'Like a New Man? Pauline Hanson and Her Suitors', by Matthew Collins, The Review (Australia/Israel Jewish Affairs Council), vol. 26, No 8, August 2001. Source: as cited in 'The Jews in Australia', by Suzanne D Rutland, Cambridge University Press.

(and interesting) is that it is now well known that, as fact, Combat 18 was a bogus 'neo-Nazi' group established and operated by State agencies and State-affiliated organizations.^{49 50 51 52 53 54}

The primary purpose of Combat 18 was to enable any significant nationalist or conservative political movements to be labelled as neo-Nazi, racist, anti-Semitic entities. In the words of a BNP member – who was violently attacked by Combat 18 members for expressing his suspicions regarding their actual purpose – Combat 18 were the “*Nazi enforcers*” of the conservative, nationalist political scene.⁵⁵

So, what then of the particular situation in Australia, at that time?

In 1994 the Australian Security Intelligence Organization (ASIO) reported to the Australian Government that: “*Violent Australian nationalist groups (VANGs) have in the past committed racist attacks, including assaults, acts of vandalism and harassment. Their activities are currently at a low level following the gaoling of many key leaders. However the National Action (NA) group is active in Adelaide, with a high intent and capability to undertake acts of racist violence.*” ... “⁵⁶

The ASIO report went on to say that: “*Anti-Semitic attacks in Australia, usually during periods of ethnic tension in the Middle East or at times of high profile Jewish or Israeli activity, will persist in the 1990s. VANGs have been linked to some of these.*”

Notably, the ASIO report included this observation: “*In Australia, a small core of extremism remains committed to using violence in pursuing issues of concern. The International Socialist Organisation (ISO) is the primary source of these violence-prone elements. They are often triggered by significant international, environmental and defence-related events, and these can be expected to recur sporadically over the next five to seven years. Violent confrontations between leftist groups and right wing extremists such as the NA will also continue.*”

The particular interest is that given that Matthew COLLINS was doing political work for the Australia-Israel Review during the late 1990s onwards, there is a very good likelihood that he was acquainted with David GREASON who was then Joint Editor of that organization, at around that time.⁵⁷

⁴⁹ Prominent, leading and active members of the overtly neo-Nazi Combat 18 gang included Paul ‘Charlie’ SARGENT (an operative for UK Police Special Branch) and James RAVEN (an employee of BBC and Channel 4 TV). One high-priority task assigned to the Combat 18 National Organizer ‘Charlie’ SARGENT was to establish working contacts with Ulster nationalist groups.

⁵⁰ ‘Combat 18 Playing With Fire’, World in Action, Channel 4 TV, 1998. Source: YouTube copy.

⁵¹ ‘Undercover TV Reporter Guilty of Torture Murder’, Andrew Norfolk, The Times, 19th August 2004. Copy of article downloaded from the Times website archives (galegroup.com).

⁵² ‘Hateland’, by Bernard O’Mahoney, Mainstream Publishing, Edinburgh 2006, page 230 and 234

⁵³ ‘Hateland’, by Bernard O’Mahoney, Mainstream Publishing, Edinburgh 2006, page 234

⁵⁴ ‘No Retreat’, Dave Hann and Steve Tilzey, Milo Books, Lytham 2003, page 203

⁵⁵ See, for example, the reports by the former BNP organizer and whistle-blower Simon Tomlin (AKA Simon Smith-Tomlin) – source: <https://thedailyagenda.wordpress.com/2014/01/>

⁵⁶ ‘Security Intelligence Planning Document’, The Australian Intelligence Organization (ASIO), marked SECRET AUSTEO (Australian Eyes Only), 1994

⁵⁷ David Greason is known to have worked for the Australia-Israel Review between 1994 and 1998 – and possibly for longer.

Therefore there is also this interesting observation, from another source: *“It may be significant that [Ray] Hill’s task [a Searchlight operative] and [David] Gleason’s for the last 11 years [i.e. 1987 to 1998] has been to ‘prove’ that all Nationalists – patriotic-conservative organisations are somehow ‘Nazi’.”*⁵⁸

That, then, is reported to have been the true purpose of the bogus far-right ‘Nazi’ groups – of being created and operated for the purpose of discrediting, disrupting, and ultimately destroying nationalist organizations in Australia (such as, for example, One Nation / Pauline Hanson).

So, what of more recent events within the UK?

There is credible evidence to suggest that the founder of (UK) National Action, Benjamin RAYMOND, grew up in Australia – although reportedly being born in the UK.^{59 60} It would also appear that he eventually returned to the UK sometime around 2005 (i.e. when he was 16-years-old) – which would have been about a year after Matthew COLLINS also returned to the UK, from Australia.

In June 2018 Jack RENSHAW – a member of National Action – admitted in court of plans to murder Member of Parliament Rosie COOPER and of threatening to kill a woman police officer. Those admissions came after the court heard evidence from a National Action ‘whistle blower’ Mr Robert MULLEN, who was working for Hope Not Hate at that time.

Notably Jack RENSHAW has also been convicted of the sexual grooming of young boys. Somehow he managed to ‘tick all the boxes’ as an especially loathsome individual: potential murderer (of 2 women); member of a violent, neo-Nazi, pro-Holocaust group; an extreme anti-Semite, and a paedophile.

In a recent YouTube interview of Robert MULLEN, by Matthew COLLINS of Hope Not Hate, there are these interesting comments: *“After the ban [December 2016] I [Robbie MULLEN] knew something was going to happen”*; *“[Robbie MULLEN] asked for our help to get out”*; *“Started working for us just after the Manchester Arena bombing”* [i.e. circa June 2017, i.e. approximately 6 months after NA was banned]; *“He came to us [Hope not Hate] because we are anti-racists, anti-fascists ...”*; and *“[Robbie MULLEN] came to us [Hope not Hate] in April 2017”*.^{61 62}

What is strange, in those Hope Not Hate video interviews of Robbie MULLEN, is the ‘body language’ of both Robert MULLEN and the interviewer (Matthew COLLINS of Hope Not Hate). Both appear inexplicably ill at ease – almost (some might say) ‘shifty’ in their demeanour. The expectation should be (of course) that

⁵⁸ ‘Article on David Gleason: “Racism Expert”: Credibility Exploded’, by P. Giannopoulos, 1998

⁵⁹ He ran a blog under the name ‘Benjamin NOYLES’ from December 2008 (when aged 19). In that blog he includes links to Australian and New Zealand nationalist groups (i.e. aussienationalist.blogspot.com and newrightausnz.blogspot.com) – but, interestingly, not to US-based right-wing sites).

⁶⁰ Benjamin Raymond claims that his accent (‘twang’) is often mistaken for American, Australian or South African, but (oddly) asserts that it is due to his Catalanian heritage ‘National Action – Greg Johnson Interviews Benjamin Raymond, Part 1’, Counter-Currents Publishing, 8th February 2016

⁶¹ ‘INVESTIGATION – The Plot to Murder MP’, Hope Not Hate, YouTube.com

⁶² ‘The neo-Nazi terrorist left hate behind and saved the life of an MP’, JOE, (Hope Not Hate), Youtube.com

they should have been exuberantly proud of the undercover work – leading to the thwarting of an appalling plan to murder a Member of Parliament. Notably the video interviews had been heavily edited.

The appalling dilemma for Mr Robert MULLEN had been that even after December 2016 he had continued to be a member of National Action, met with other members, and did important work for the group. He therefore would have known that if caught, he could have gone to jail for a very long time.

He must also have suspected that by that time Hope Not Hate already knew his identity.⁶³

Most probably Mr Robbie MULLEN realised that he had no choice other than contacting Hope Not Hate, and of doing some sort of deal – and hence their peculiar ‘body language’.

Matthew COLLINS (of Hope Not Hate) has previously shown interest in the political activities of Jack RENSHAW several years before RENSHAW hatched his murderous plot. In October 2014 Matthew COLLINS informed his Hope Not Hate readership that Jack RENSHAW had been summarily expelled from the British National Party (BNP), on the orders of BNP Chairman Nick GRIFFIN.⁶⁴ The most likely explanation for RENSHAW’s sudden expulsion was the discovery by the BNP that he had been secretly involved with National Action – whilst spying on the BNP.⁶⁵

Why Jack RENSHAW’s sudden expulsion from the BNP was of such interest to Hope Not Hate at that time was never explained. Notably Hope Not Hate never (openly) queried why a young ‘rising star’ of the BNP was thrown out of the party in such a perfunctory manner. Did Hope Not Hate not think to ask – or even do so much as publically speculate?

That expulsion occurred just a week or so before Alex DAVIES of National Action appeared on the platform of a far-right conference, being held in Preston (on the 11th October 2014).⁶⁶

Commentary / Conclusions

This commentary/conclusions section of the report begins with a summary of the main findings:

1) The emergence of Generation Identity, and similar organisations within the UK, and their subsequent growth in popular support and activism, resulted in efforts by the UK State and by State-supported groups to “*discredit, destabilise and destroy*” such organisations – including by covert means involving the creation and use of bogus neo-Nazi associations (such as with the equally bogus National Action).

2) In their own words, the primary purpose of National Action was to engage in, and to incite,

⁶³ Hope Not Hate appears to have had a photo of Mr Mullen attending a National Action march.

⁶⁴ ‘Griffin pushes ahead with parallel structure’, by Matthew Collins, 4th October 2014, Hope Not Hate, source: <http://www.hopenothate.org.uk/blog/insider/>

⁶⁵ National Action had been created in late 2013, the same time Jack Renshaw became an undergraduate student at the Manchester Metropolitan University. He was expelled from that university in 2015 (a year after being expelled from the BNP).

⁶⁶ ‘The 9th Annual John Tyndall Memorial Meeting’ Preston, Lancashire, organized by Mark Cotterill, Heritage and Destiny

“*violent opposition*” to the Generation Identity movement.

3) It is also evident that a more general purpose of National Action appears has been to discredit and violently disrupt (either directly through its own actions, or by the agitation-to-violence of so-called ‘anti-fascist activists’) all conservative, nationalist, and anti-terrorism protest groups and organizations.

4) There was collaboration between National Action and left-wing mainstream news organisations (e.g. Huffington Post UK in March 2014, and BBC TV in September 2015) for the purpose of giving credence as to the *bona-fide* nature of the avowedly neo-Nazi National Action group – and help disseminate that group’s purported ideological beliefs and it’s ‘direct action’ intentions.

5) On the 17th August 2014 a major report was published by the State-supported ‘anti-racism’ organization Searchlight titled ‘White genocide and the British far right’. The report attempted to promote the notion that the growth of a ‘White genocide’ narrative within Europe was little more than part of a covert “*neo-Nazi discourse*”. In was in such terms that any concerns native Europeans may have for the future of Europe (and, especially its people) were contemptuously dismissed.

6) The BBC collaborated with HM Constabulary in TV programmes showing National Action mass demonstrations – i.e. of approximately twenty young men, masked, dressed in black, and performing Nazi salutes, being escorted through an English town by large contingents of police officers. These were highly theatrical performances by all those involved that, unfortunately, gave every indication of being ‘staged’ events, with the active collaboration of HM Constabulary.

7) There is evidence strongly indicating that the Hope Not Hate organisation actually suspected (or, perhaps more likely, has had direct evidence of) National Action being a bogus organization.

8) The exposing of National Action as illustrative of a supposedly threatening emergence of a far right, neo-Nazi social movement within the UK has extraordinary parallels with a similar political narrative in play in Australia in the 1990s. Indeed some of the very same ‘actors’ appear to have been involved in the production of that Australian narrative.

There are good indications that those behind the creation of National Action within the UK possessed considerable skills in psychological manipulation of individuals, and socio-psychological manipulation of groups. This was not the work of amateurs, or naïve members of the general public.

Undoubtedly the National Action membership included some very unpleasant people, propagating vile ideas, and who had a potential for extreme violence. Some of those people would already have possessed those inclinations and were attracted to National Action given what they thought (or were persuaded to think) it stood for – whilst others may have become so, under the malign influence of National Action itself.

In this regard, it is fortunate that the group membership never amounted to more than about a dozen ‘activists’, and about the same number of supporters (note: out of a UK population of 60 million).

It is interesting (and revealing) that none of mainstream media used their much-vaunted ‘investigative journalism’ resources to investigate and report on the true origins and true intentions of National Action. Instead of which, they simply regurgitated over and over again the same superficial narrative – essentially that National Action was emblematic of the threatening emergence of far-right extremism within the White (i.e. native) population of the UK. No investigation was (or is) necessary.

So, basically, why would a bogus neo-Nazi organisation such as National Action be created in the first place?

The UK ruling political class have a particular loathing of the native English people – and in particular a hatred of the characteristically obstinate conservatism of the ‘white working class’. It works to impose radical, ‘progressive’ social change (fundamentally, of population replacement) by means of engineering mass immigration into the UK from some of the most brutally violent regions of the World.

It is a rather hackneyed phrase – but one that is worth using to end this report: If the ‘neo-Nazi’ National Action group hadn’t existed, then they (the controlling UK political elite) would have had to invent it.

It appears, from the research outlined in this report, that that is exactly what they did.

END