

Europe – Islam and The Great Replacement

By Tony Shell May 2019

1. Introduction

Demands for accountability should always start at the top.

HIS ROYAL HIGHNESS PRINCE CHARLES has served as the Patron of the UK-registered, Saudi-backed charity The Islamic Trust (The Oxford Centre for Islamic Studies, OCIS). In addition to HRH PRINCE CHARLES, the 2005 accounts for The Islamic Trust show three persons seen to be of particular interest: HRH PRINCE TURKI AL-FAISAL (OCIS Trustee); Dr. Abdullah Omar NASEEF (OCIS Chairman of The Board); and Dr. Yusuf AL-QARADAWI (OCIS Trustee).^{1 2}

The OCIS was awarded a Royal Charter by HER MAJESTY QUEEN ELIZABETH II, in early 2012.

It is apparent that HRH PRINCE CHARLES has enjoyed a close personal relationship with HRH PRINCE TURKI AL-FAISAL (OCIS Trustee) – for example, on the 9th April 2005 PRINCE TURKI AL-FAISAL, together with his wife, were present as invited guests to the wedding of Prince CHARLES to Camilla Parker-Bowles.³ Other invited guests included HRH PRINCE BANDAR BIN SULTAN, the then Saudi Ambassador to the United States (of whom, see later within this report).⁴

Indeed, it is very apparent that there has been a close, long-standing, relationship between many members of the UK Royal Family, and the Saudi Royal Family.^{5 6 7 8}

¹ Statement of accounts for The Islamic Trust (reg. n^o 293072) for the year-ending 31st August 2006, submitted to the Charity Commission on the 26th January 2007 (PDF copy of full statement).

² ‘Scholar With A Streetwise Touch Defies Expectations and Stereotypes’, by Owen Bowcott and Faisal al Yafi, The Guardian, 9th July 2004

³ The full, formal name for PRINCE TURKI BIN FAISAL is PRINCE TURKI BIN FAISAL BIN ABDULAZIZ AL SAUD.

⁴ The Sunday Times, 10th April 2005

⁵ ‘Wikileaks Cables: Prince Andrew Bats For Britain – At Taxpayer’s Expense’, by Stephen Bates, The Guardian, 29th November 2010. Source: <http://www.theguardian.com/uk/2010/nov/29/prince-andrew-bats-britain-expense>

⁶ Prince BANDAR BIN SULTAN is presently the Director General of the Saudi General Intelligence Directorate (GID) – having been appointed to that position on the 19th July 2012. He has been previously associated with the Bush Administration in the USA, and has been seen as one of the prime instigators of the military invasion of Iraq (in 2003).

⁷ Notably, Prince BANDAR BIN SULTAN has been seen as the principle advocate for military action (by Western countries) in Syria, for the purpose of toppling the Government of President Bashar Assad. See: ‘Syria, The Saudi Connection: The Prince With Close Ties To Washington At The Heart Of The Push For War’, by David Osborne, The Independent, 26th August 2013. Source: <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/middle-east/syria-the-sau...-ties-to-washington-at-the-heart-of-the-push-for-war-8785049.html>

It is noted that from 1977 to 2001 PRINCE TURKI AL-FAISAL served as the Director General of the General Intelligence Directorate (GID, or 'Istakbarat') – the Kingdom's main foreign intelligence service. In 2002, PRINCE TURKI AL-FAISAL was appointed Ambassador to the United Kingdom and Republic of Ireland, and in 2005 he was appointed as Ambassador to the United States.⁹

In regard to Prince TURKI AL-FAISAL, legal documents submitted to a US court, in 2003 an Observer newspaper article reported: “[Mullah] Kakshar’s statement also says that Turki [al-Faisal] arranged for donations to be made directly to al-Qaeda and bin Laden by a group of wealthy Saudi businessmen. ‘Mullah Kakshar’s sworn statement implicates Prince Turki as the facilitator of these money transfers in support of the Taliban, al-Qaeda and international terrorism,’ the papers said. ... Turki’s link to one of al-Qaeda’s top money- launderers, Mohammed Zouaydi, who lived in Saudi Arabia from 1996 to 2001, is also exposed. Zouaydi acted as the accountant for the Faisal branch of the Saudi royal family that includes Turki. Zouaydi, who is now in jail in Spain, is also accused of being al-Qaeda’s top European financier.”¹⁰

A New York Times 2009 article reported: “A self-described Qaeda operative in Bosnia said in an interview with lawyers in the lawsuit that another charity largely controlled by members of the royal family, the Saudi High Commission for Aid to Bosnia, provided money and supplies to the terrorist group in the 1990s and hired militant operatives like himself. Another witness in Afghanistan said in a sworn statement that in 1998 he had witnessed an emissary for a leading Saudi prince, TURKI AL-FAISAL, hand a check for one billion Saudi riyals (now worth about \$267 million) to a top Taliban leader. And a confidential German intelligence report gave a line-by-line description of tens of millions of dollars in bank transfers, with dates and dollar amounts, made in the early 1990s by Prince Salman bin Abdul Aziz and other members of the Saudi royal family to another charity suspected of financing militants’ activities in Pakistan and Bosnia.”¹¹

PRINCE TURKI AL-FAISAL is one of the founders of the King Faisal Foundation and has served as Chairman of the Board of the PRINCE CHARLES Visual Islamic and Traditional Arts Centre (VITA) based in the UK, as well as the co-chair of the C-100 Group, which has been affiliated with the World Economic Forum since 2003.¹²

⁸ There have been claims that Prince Bandar made thinly veiled threats against Russia, for its support of a diplomatic solution to the Syrian crisis – with references to the possibility of terrorist attacks on the Sochi Winter Olympic Games by Saudi-controlled Islamic groups based in Chechnya, should Russia not accede to Western/Saudi demands. See: ‘Saudis Offer Russia Secret Oil Deal If It Drops Syria’, by Ambrose Evans Pritchard, The Telegraph, 27th August 2013. Source: <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/newsbysector/energy/oilandgas/10266957/Saudis-offer-Russia-secret-oil-deal-if-it-drops-Syria.html>

⁹ ‘Crown-Belfer Seminar Series with His Royal Highness Prince Turki bin Faisal Al Saud’, Seminar Series: Crown-Belfer Middle East Seminar Series, Invitation Only - Faculty Club, North Dining Room, November 19, 2010 . Source: http://belfercenter.hks.harvard.edu/events/5341/crownbelfer_seminar_series_with_his_royal_highness_prince_turki_bin_faisal_al_saud.html

¹⁰ ‘Saudi Envoy in UK Linked to 9/11 – Riyadh’s Former Intelligence Chief Has Been Accused In US Court Documents Of Helping To Fund Al-Qaeda, Report Paul Harris and Martin Bright’, by Paul Harris and Martin Bright, The Guardian, Sunday 2nd March 2003. Source: <http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2003/mar/02/september11.politics>

¹¹ ‘Documents Back Saudi Link to Extremists’, by Eric Lichtblau, The New York Times, 23rd June 2009. Source: http://www.nytimes.com/2009/06/24/world/middleeast/24saudi.html?_r=0

¹² ‘Crown-Belfer Seminar Series with His Royal Highness Prince Turki bin Faisal Al Saud’, Seminar Series: Crown-Belfer Middle East Seminar Series, Invitation Only - Faculty Club, North Dining Room, November 19, 2010 . Source: http://belfercenter.hks.harvard.edu/events/5341/crownbelfer_seminar_series_with_his_royal_highness_prince_turki_bin_faisal_al_saud.html

Notably, PRINCE TURKI AL-FAISAL has been one of the most strident and powerful advocates for outside military intervention in the Syrian civil war.

His pronouncements (in 2013) included references to the need for military action – in particular of intense military bombardment by the West, and the supply of armaments from Western countries to insurgents – to supposedly counter the influence of Iranian backed groups.^{13 14 15 16}

Dr. Abdullah Omar NASEEF (OCIS Chairman and Trustee) founded the Pakistan-based RABITA Trust (a subsidiary of the World Muslim League) in July 1988.^{17 18 19 20} He has operated as General Secretary of WML (dates not known). He has also operated as President of the World Muslim Congress.

On the 12th October 2001 the RABITA Trust was designated a global terrorist entity by the US Treasury Department, and its assets were frozen.²¹

At that time the RABITA Trust was estimated to be holding some \$400million in funds. A press release issued by the US Treasury department in September 2002 stated that the RABITA Trust had “*provided logistical and financial support to al-Qa’ida.*”^{22 23 24 25}

Dr. Abdullah Omar NASEEF has served as Vice-President of the Wahhabist Islamic organization, the World Assembly of Muslim Youth (WAMY).^{26 27} He has also actively worked to spread the worldwide

¹³ ‘Arm Syrian rebels to contain jihadis, says Saudi royal – Prince TURKI AL-FAISAL, a former spy chief, issues call in Davos amid alarm at growing presence of extremists in Syrian opposition’, by Ian Black, Middle East Editor, The Guardian, 25th January 2013

¹⁴ ‘Interview with Prince Turki bin Faisal: “Saudi Arabia Wants Downfall of Assad”’, Interview conducted by Susanne Koelbl, Der Spiegel, June 2013

¹⁵ ‘Saudi Arabia stamps feet at lack of US backing on Syria, Israel’, RT.com, 23rd October 2013

¹⁶ ‘Saudi Prince Calls For Syrian Rebels To Be Armed’, by Paul Taylor (in Davos, Switzerland), Reuters News Agency, 25th January 2013. Source: <http://www.reuters.com/article/2013/01/25/us-syria-crisis-saudi-idUSBRE900M120130125>

¹⁷ ‘Rabita Trust’, About Law – Law Practice Management, [undated]. Source: <http://law.about.com/library/911/blrabita.htm>

¹⁸ ‘NASEEF Calls For Reactivating Rabita Trust’, Arab News, 5th November 2002. Source: <http://webcache.googleusercontent.com/search?q=cache:uoIPK9d75ykJ:www.arabnews.com/node/359595+&cd=24&hl=en&ct=cln&gl=uk>

¹⁹ ‘Biographical Data – Abdullah Omar NASEEF’, by M Nauman Khan, Salaam, [undated]. Source: <http://www.salaam.co.uk/knowledge/biography/viewentry.php?id=2322>

²⁰ ‘Pakistan Must Act Soon On Stranded Pakistanis’, by Syed Mussarat Khalil, The Saudi Gazette, 16th January 2014. Source: <http://www.saudigazette.com.sa/index.cfm?method=home.PrintContent&action=Print&contentID=0000000041281>

²¹ ‘Protecting Charitable Organizations- P’, US Department of The Treasury, Resource Center, 17th February 2009. Source: http://www.treasury.gov/resource-center/terrorist-illicit-finance/Pages/protecting-charities_execorder_13224-p.aspx

²² ‘Responses to Information Requests (RIRs) – Update to BGD23489.E of 2 April 1996 On The Treatment of Biharis in Bangladesh’, Research Directorate Immigration and Refugee Board Ottawa, 9th April 2003 page 2

²³ ‘RABITA Trust – Information on Entity’, INTERPOL, date of listing: 17th October 2001

²⁴ ‘Security Council Committee Pursuant To Resolutions 1267 (1999) and 1989 (2011) Concerning al-Qaida and Associated Individuals and Entities – QE.R.21.01.RABITA TRUST’, The United Nations, 7th April 2011

²⁵ ‘Statement On The Case For Wa’el Hamza JULAIDAN’, Office of Public Affairs, US Department Of The Treasury, 6th September 2002

²⁶ ‘Youths Must Be Involved In Social Activities: WAMY’, Arab News, 5th October 2010. Source: <http://www.arabnews.com/node/356889>

²⁷ ‘The “Muslim Minority Affairs” Agenda’, Discover The Networks. Source:

influence of WAMY, for many years.²⁸ Such links are also to be found in Dr. Abdullah Omar NASEEF's connections to the Saudi-publication The Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs (JMMA) – which was reportedly run under the management of WAMY.²⁹

The Saudi-based Institute of Muslim Minority Affairs (IMMA) was founded in 1979, by Abdullah Omar NASEEF. At that time he was the Vice-President of Saudi Arabia's King Abdulaziz University.³⁰ Therefore the starting point has to be an extremely important Islamic (Saudi/Wahhabist) manifesto: 'The Efforts of the Servant of the Two Holy Places, King Fahd bin Abdul Aziz to Support The Muslim Minorities' - which was originally commissioned by the late KING FAHD BIN ABDUL AZIZ, of the House of Saud.

The three main constituent parts of the IMMA are: the World Assembly of Muslim Youth (WAMY), the Islamic International Relief Organization (IIRO), and the Muslim World League (MWL).

Classified FBI documents show that the WAMY operations in the USA (led by Osama BIN LADEN relatives, brothers Abdullah and Omar BIN LADEN) were under investigation in the late 1990s. However in November 2001 'intelligence sources' in Washington told the Guardian Newspaper that investigations had been effectively closed-down by the US Government.^{31 32}

The investigation into WAMY was restarted two days after 9/11 – but only after the bin Laden brothers had left the US.

Those same 'intelligence sources' revealed that the FBI had also been told to stop its investigations into other members of the BIN LADEN Family (that is, other than Osama BIN LADEN), the Saudi Royals, or into possible Saudi links to the acquisition of nuclear weapons by Pakistan.

A key report by the Danish Institute for International Studies, published in 2006, used extensive governmental and judicial investigations, from around the World, to show the numerous connections linking WAMY to international terrorist organizations and terrorist financing.³³

WAMY has been banned in Pakistan; and it is reported that WAMY has been cited by the governments of India and the Philippines for involvement in the funding of Islamic militancy.^{34 35} In 2011 the Canadian

<http://www.discoverthenetworks.org/viewSubCategory.asp?id=1615>

²⁸ 'IDB, WAMY to Finance New Islamic Varsity in India', Islamic Voice, January 1997. Source: <http://www.islamicvoice.com/january.97/econ.htm>

²⁹ 'Huma's Muslim Brotherhood', by B D Pisani, B2 Journal, 25th July 2013 page 2

³⁰ Source: 'Institute of Muslim Minority Affairs (IMMA)', Discover The Networks, 2nd January 2014. Source: <http://www.discoverthenetworks.org/printgroupProfile.asp?grpId=7747> page 1

³¹ 'FBI Claims Bin Laden Inquiry Was Frustrated – Officials Told To "Back Off" On Saudis Before September 11', by Greg Palast and David Pallister, The Guardian, 7th November 2001. Source: <http://www.theguardian.com/world/2001/nov/07/afghanistan.september11>

³² The investigation into WAMY was restarted two days after 9/11 – but only after the Bin Laden brothers had left the US. See: 'Bosnia, 1 degree of separation from Al-Qaeda', The Centre for Peace in the Balkans, Analysis, July 2003

³³ 'The Role of Islamic Charities in International Terrorist Recruitment and Financing', by Evan F Kohlmann, DHS Working Paper No 2006/7, Danish Institute for International Studies, Denmark, 2006

³⁴ 'Has Someone Been Sitting On The FBI?', BBC Newsnight, 6th November 2001, source: <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/events/newsnight/1645527.stm>

Revenue Agency (CRA) revoked the charitable status of the Canadian branch of WAMY after it was discovered the organization had funded Al-Qaeda in 2001. The CRA reported: *“The audit findings did not reveal any apparent separation between the activities of WAMY (Saudi Arabia) and WAMY, with all related financial and operating positions being made by WAMY (Saudi Arabia) ... This leads to a reasonable inference that WAMY has little or no independent function; therefore it cannot be concluded that it is carrying out its own charitable activities for which it is registered.”*^{36 37}

Within the US Government GAO report is this historical context: *“In the 1960s, funding of religious outreach activities overseas became a central feature of Saudi policy through organizations such as the Muslim World League and the World Assembly of Muslim Youth. Activities of these organizations include providing medicine and food and building mosques, schools, and shelters. The Saudi donations to support its aid efforts and the spread of its religious ideology come from public and private sources and are channelled through a variety of foundations and middlemen to recipients around the world.”*³⁸

The GAO report goes on to state: *“In July 2003, a former State Department official testified before Congress that a Saudi-based charity, al Haramain Islamic Foundation, had allegedly financed assistance to the Egyptian terrorist group Gamma al Islamia. In May 2004, the same former State official also testified that some half dozen of the most visible charities, including two of Saudi Arabia’s largest, the International Islamic Relief Organization and the World Muslim League, have been linked to supporting Islamic terrorist organizations globally. In addition, a former Treasury official identified Wa’el Hamza Julaidan as a senior figure in the Saudi charitable community who provided financial and other support to several terrorist groups affiliated with al Qaeda operating primarily in the Balkans.”*³⁹

Towards the end of the GAO report there is this concluding recommendation: *“In July 2005, a State official testifying before Congress stated that the department continues to stress, in its discussions with the Saudis, the need for full implementation of charity regulations, including a fully functioning commission. The State official further stated that appropriate regulatory oversight of organizations headquartered in Saudi Arabia, such as the World Muslim League, the International Islamic Relief Organization, and the World Assembly of Muslim Youth, is absolutely necessary.”*⁴⁰

Therefore the reference is seen in terms of events in Ukraine, and the activities in the past few years (of promulgating fundamentalist Islam within that, and within other countries in the region) through the

³⁵ ‘FBI Claims Bin Laden Inquiry Was Frustrated’, The Guardian, Greg Palast and David Pallister, 7th November 2001, source: <http://www.guardian.co.uk/Archive/Article/0,4273,4293682,00.html>

³⁶ ‘Home grown terrorism in Canada – two imams involved’, by Zakaria Virk, The Muslim Times. Source: <http://www.themuslimtimes.org/2013/04/countries/canada/home-grown-terrorism-in-canada-two-imams-involved>

³⁷ ‘Canadian Muslim youth group tied to al-Qaeda stripped of charitable status’, by Sarah Boesveid, National Post, 6th March 2012. Source: <http://news.nationalpost.com/2012/03/06/canadian-muslim-youth-organization-loses-charitable-status/>

³⁸ ‘Information On U.S. Agencies’ Efforts To Address Islamic Extremism’, US Government Accountability Office, Report to Congress (ref. GAO-05-852), September 2005, page 6

³⁹ ‘Information On U.S. Agencies’ Efforts To Address Islamic Extremism’, US Government Accountability Office, Report to Congress (ref. GAO-05-852), September 2005, pages 16 and 17

⁴⁰ ‘Information On U.S. Agencies’ Efforts To Address Islamic Extremism’, US Government Accountability Office, Report to Congress (ref. GAO-05-852), September 2005, pages 16 and 17

organization ARAID – and of the links of that organization to: the Muslim Brotherhood; the World Muslim League and the World Assembly of Muslim Youth.^{41 42 43}

Reports that Saudi Arabia had moved Takfiri fighters from Syria to Ukraine are entirely credible, given past Saudi involvement in the civil wars in Bosnia, Kosovo, Chechnya and Libya.⁴⁴

Dr. Yusuf AL-QARADAWI (OCIS Trustee) has served as the effective spiritual head of the Muslim Brotherhood. And again it is particular (and alarming) events of recent times that are of importance – that members and supporters of the Muslim Brotherhood have, in the last few years, been especially prominent in attacks against the Coptic Christian minority within Egypt.^{45 46 47 48 49}

In one of a large number of FCO documents, leaked by whistle-blower Derek PASQUILL in 2006 (and subsequently published by Martin Bright of the Policy Exchange) there is a quotation attributed to the Metropolitan Police Service, Muslim Contact Unit (MCU) that expressed support for the presence of Dr. Yusuf AL-QARADAWI within the UK.^{50 51 52 53 54 55}

Notably Dr. Yusuf AL-QARADAWI has gained some notoriety for having expressed his support for (what

⁴¹ ‘Islamisation in Easter Europe – A Case Study of Araid in Ukraine’, by Anya Munster, 2009. Source: <http://www.islaminstitut.de>

⁴² ‘07KYIV1246, Ukraine: Moderate Muslim Leader Accuses ARAID’, From US Embassy Kyiv to US Secretary of State, marked CONFIDENTIAL, 25th May 2007. Source: <http://wikileaksua.wordpress.com/2007/05/25/07kyiv1246-ukraine-moderate-muslim-leader-accuses-arraid/>

⁴³ ‘Ukrainian Muslim Brotherhood Organization Gives Presentation At Catholic University’, 27th October 2007. Source: <http://globalmbreport.org/?p=307>

⁴⁴ ‘S. Arabia Relocating Takfiri Fighters from Syria to Ukraine’, Fars News, 4th May 2014, source: <http://english.farsnews.com/newstext.aspx?nn=13930214000824>

⁴⁵ ‘Anthony Brown says that more than 300 million Christians are either threatened with violence or legally discriminated against because of their faith.’ – see: ‘Church of Martyrs’, by Anthony Brown, The Spectator, 26th March 2005

⁴⁶ ‘Egypt: There Was No Door On Which I Did Not Knock – Coptic Christians Caught In Attacks and State’s Failures’, Amnesty International, July 2013

⁴⁷ ‘Egypt: Supporters of former President Mohamed Morsi turn on Christians in angry backlash – Scores killed and many wounded as Coptic churches and properties are hit by violent mobs’, by Alastair Beach, The Independent, 15th August 2013

⁴⁸ ‘Egyptian Christians attacked, dozens of churches torched’, RT.com, 19th August 2013. Source: <http://rt.com/news/egypt-christian-churches-muslim-662/>

⁴⁹ ‘Morsi-appointed Governor of Luxor linked to 1997 massacre’, Voltaire Network, 19th June 2013. Source: <http://www.voltairenet.org/article179011.html>

⁵⁰ ‘Radical Past Of Top Whitehall Islamic Aide’, Dipesh Gadhur, The Sunday Times, 30th July 2006

⁵¹ ‘I Had No Choice But To Leak – In The Wake Of Having His Case Thrown Out At The Old Bailey, Derek Pasquill Reveals The Story Behind’, Derek Pasquill, The New Statesman, 17th January 2008

⁵² ‘Secret Email That Freed The Mole At The Foreign Office’, Peter Beaumont, The Observer, 13th January 2008

⁵³ ‘When Progressives Treat With Reactionaries’, by Martin Bright, The Policy Exchange, July 2006

⁵⁴ It is alleged that in a sermon in Qatar, in October 2004, pronouncements by Sheikh AL-QARADAWI included: “*O God, protect them and show them the right path. O, God, destroy the usurper Jews, the vile Crusaders, and infidels.*” – reference: Sermon at Unar-Bin-al-Khattab Mosque, Doha, Qatar, 1st October 2004, source: <http://www.kokhavivpublications.com/2003/israel/06/0306161734.html> - as referenced in testimony to The All Parliamentary Inquiry Into Anti-Semitism, September 2006, page 73

⁵⁵ In February 2005 a detailed report was issued, claiming substantial evidence that Dr. Yusuf AL-QARADAWI, widely regarded as the Muslim Brotherhood's spiritual head, had supported: the murder of people who have turned away from Islam (apostates); female genital mutilation (FGM); that a husband must compel his wife to wear the hijab; domestic violence in certain circumstances; targeting Israeli civilians; and suicide bombing (including by women). See www.galha.org/briefing/qaradawi.html

many will see as) especially diabolical acts of religious observance – including that the ritualized mutilation of the genitals of infant children (including FMG) should not be criminalized.⁵⁶ Dr. Yusuf AL-QARADAWI has also served as chairman of The Union of Good – a global coalition of some 56 Islamic charities.

In November 2008 The Union of Good was designated a terrorist organization by the US Government.⁵⁷

Of particular note are the calls by Dr. AL-QARADAWI for “*Jihad*” in Syria, for the purpose of (violently) replacing the existing Government with a fundamentalist Islamic regime.⁵⁸

In 2008 Dr. Yusuf AL-QARADAWI was banned from entering the UK.⁵⁹

Although this concludes the introductory part of this report, it is also noted that at sometime in 2005-2006 the extreme Islamist Dr. Zakir NAIK was “*received and entertained*” at the OCIS as a “*principal visitor*”. Dr. Zakir NAIK was banned from entering the UK in 2010.^{60 61}

2. The UK / Saudi Connection

On the 7th November 2000 Dr. Ahmad AL-DUBAYAN replaced Dr. Hamed AL-MAJID as Director General of the Islamic Cultural Centre at the Regent’s Park Mosque in London. Upon that appointment, the Saudi Government applied for the privilege and protection of diplomatic status for Dr. AL-DUBAYAN – which was duly and dutifully granted by The Court of St James.

It is noted that Dr. Ahmad AL-DUBAYAN has also been listed as a trustee of the London branch of the World Muslim League (WML) headquartered at the Goodge Street Mosque in central London.⁶² This is of special interest due to the Goodge Street Mosque serving not only as the UK/London headquarters for the WML, but also for both WAMY and the IMMA.

It is known that Umar Farouk ABDULMUTALLAB attended the Goodge Street Mosque in the period 2005 to 2008. It was also at that time that he served as the President of the University College of London Islamic Society (UCLISOC). And it seems it was during that period that he became radicalized. On Christmas Day 2009, whilst on a flight to the USA, Umar Farouk ABDULMUTALLAB attempted to murder the 289

⁵⁶ The International Islamic Committee for Women and Children (IICWC) draws particularly on the teachings on Dr. Yusuf AL-QARADAWI in regard to the endorsement on female genital mutilation: “*The criminalization of female genital circumcision completely clashes with Islamic law, which did not provide for this prohibition, as confirmed by Dr. Yusuf AL-QARADAWI, who was one of the drafters of the Charter ...*” Sources: <http://iicwc.org/lagna/iicwc/iicwc.php?id=27> and <http://iicwc.org/lagna/iicwc/iicwc.php?id=617> Note: translated from the original Arabic.

⁵⁷ Under US Executive Order 13224, The US Treasury Department on the 12th November 2008 (Ref. HP-1267)

⁵⁸ ‘Leading Sunni Muslim Cleric Calls For “Jihad” in Syria’, Reuters, 1st June 2013. Source: <http://www.reuters.com/article/2013/06/01/us-syria-crisis-qaradawi-idUSBRE9500CQ20130601>

⁵⁹ In 2012 Dr. Yusuf AL-QARADAWI was banned from entering France.

⁶⁰ Source: www.bbc.co.uk/news/10349564

⁶¹ It is noted that an individual can be banned from entering the country where that individual is believed to pose a threat to national security, public order, or the safety of UK citizens. It includes banning those whose views are seen as glorifying terrorism, promoting violence or encouraging other serious crime.

⁶² For example, in the WML accounts, submitted to the Charity Commission, for the years 2011 and 2012

passengers and crew by detonating a high-explosive bomb sewn into his underwear.^{63 64}

The interest here is the connection between Dr. Ahmad AL-DUBAYAN in London, and the Head of Islamic Affairs Department at the Saudi Embassy in Berlin, Muhammad Jaber FAKIH. Like Dr. AL-DUBAYAN, Mr FAKIH enjoyed the privilege and protection of diplomatic status. FAKIH took up that appointment in June 2000 – with Dr. Ahmad AL-DUBAYAN having been Muhammad Jaber FAKIH's predecessor in Berlin.

The following claims are according to a report published in September 2003 by the Wall Street Journal.⁶⁵

Muhammed Jaber FAKIHI frequented a Berlin mosque also attended by members of the Hamburg cell that helped mount the September 11th 2001 terrorist attacks (New York and Washington). One of those cell members was found (upon his arrest) to have in his possession one of FAKIHI's business cards.

German investigators found that Mr FAKIHI channelled more than \$1 million to the mosque at which Muslim clerics preached intolerance of non-Muslims, and that those investigators believed that in early 2010 he met with a Tunisian man, Ihsan GARNOAUI, then under investigation for possessing materials and instructions for making bombs and poisons (ricin?).

According to a letter in the possession of the WSJ, Mr FAKIHI told his superiors in Saudi Arabia that his ultimate goal was to turn Berlin into an Islamic proselytizing centre for the whole of Eastern Europe.

In another (translated) letter in the possession of the WSJ, Mr. FAKIHI had proposed to the Saudis that the Berlin mosque should be turned into a centre for the Islamisation of "*ethnic Europeans*" in Poland, the Czech Republic and Hungary (which, in particular, was noted in the letter as having "*once belonged to the Islamic Caliphate under Ottoman Empire rule*"). There are also claims within the WSJ report that this project was inspired by Mr. FAKIHI's predecessor and alleged mentor, Dr. Ahmad AL-DUBAYAN.

The report goes on to say that on the 18th March 2002 a German counter-terrorism surveillance team observed the Tunisian GARNOAUI meeting in a remote quarry with another person driving a car with Saudi diplomatic number plates – and that it was suspected that the occupant of the car was Mr FAKIHI.

Two days after that observed meeting, and the arrest of the GARNOAUI, the German Foreign Ministry – following the recommendation from the domestic intelligence service – told the Saudi Embassy that Mr FAKIHI's diplomatic accreditation would be withdrawn unless he left the country immediately. It is reported that on that same day Dr. Ahmad AL-DUBAYAN flew into Berlin from London where he met with Mr FAKIHI at the Intercontinental Hotel. Mr FAKIHI left Germany and flew back to Saudi Arabia that same day.

Subsequently, both Jaber FAKIHI and the Saudi Government denied any wrongdoing.

⁶³ 'In London, Bomb Suspect Found Militant Edge', by Dina Temple-Raston National Public Radio, 18th February 2010. Source: <http://www.wbur.org/npr/123663212>

⁶⁴ 'U.K. Knew U.S. Airline Suspect Had Extremist Ties', The Associated Press 2010, published by CBN News in 2010, source: Google cached copy from www.cbn.com

⁶⁵ 'How a Diplomat From Saudi Arabia Spread His Faith – German Investigators Link Mr Fakihi to Extremists', by David Crawford, The Wall Street Journal, 10th September 2003, source Google archive of: <http://online.wsj.com/news/articles/SB10631440996673100>

In 2005 GARNOAUI was convicted of the minimal charges of being in possession of weapons, forgery, tax evasion, and entering Germany illegally. The court found him not guilty of all terrorism charges, with the judges claiming that those charges were based on 'heresy' only – despite explosive chemicals, a pistol, and evidence of his having received training in Afghanistan, being found in his Berlin flat.^{66 67}

So, what of the situation within the UK?

In that same year, on the 7th July 2005, Islamic terrorists murdered 52 innocent people in a series of bomb attacks in the centre of London.

In January 2007 a Channel 4 TV documentary reported on an investigation (in mostly the Birmingham and Midlands regions) that had “uncovered religious bigotry and intolerance spreading from the Saudi religious establishment through major, mainstream British organizations” and that radical preachers at British mosques were calling for Jihad, and for the West to be “taken over” by Islam.⁶⁸

The following includes verbatim quotes from a transcript of that Channel 4 investigation.⁶⁹

In particular, quoted are some of the pronouncements made by a prominent Islamic speaker, Dr. Ijaz Mian who had toured throughout Islamic institutions within the UK, where he spoke as an invited guest.⁷⁰ Dr. Ijaz Mian had previously spent six years studying Islam at the Ibn Saud University in Riyadh.

For example, there is this: *“You are in a situation in which you have to live like a state within a state, until you take over. But until that happens, you have to preach, until you become such a force that the people they just submit to you, hands up, until you become strong enough to take over.”*

He also gives support for the Saudi Religious Police arresting those who did not pray to Allah five times a day – and of being imprisoned and executed for their 'crime'.

Then again, there is this: *“You cannot accept the rules of the kaffir. We have to rule ourselves, and we have to rule the others. So being a Muslim you have to fix a target, there will be no House of Commons. From that White House to this Black House we have to dismantle it.”*

Dr. Abdal Hakim Murad, a renowned Cambridge-based researcher and scholar, warned: *“I regard what the Saudis are doing in the ghettos of British Islam as potentially lethal for the future of the community.”*

After launching an eight-month inquiry following the broadcast, the West Midlands Police and the Crown Prosecution Service found (to their obvious chagrin) they were unable to prosecute Channel 4.

⁶⁶ ‘German court acquits terror suspect of plot to bomb US targets’, by Jeannie Shawl, Jurist, 6th April 2005, source: www.jurist.org

⁶⁷ ‘Terror Suspect Gets Minimum Conviction’, Spiegel Online, 7th April 2005

⁶⁸ ‘Dispatches: Undercover Mosques’, a Channel 4 TV documentary, 15th January 2007

⁶⁹ ‘Undercover Mosques: The Transcripts’, The Muslim Public Affairs Committee (MPAC), 15th January 2007, source: www.mpacuk.org

⁷⁰ Dr. Ijaz Mian is known to have visited include a mosque in Derby run by the Ahl-e-Hadith group, and the Sparkbrook Islamic Centre in Birmingham.

The police and the CPS subsequently launched public attacks on the documentary-makers alleging (in effect) fakery. West Midlands Police (WMP) also attempted to pursue a complaint against Channel 4 through OFCOM (the TV broadcasters' independent complaints agency).⁷¹ The complaint by the WMP was totally rejected by OFCOM – as were complaints, regarding the documentary, made by The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and The Royal Embassy of Saudi Arabia (London) and by the Islamic Cultural Centre and London Central Mosque (Regent's Park).⁷²

Channel 4 subsequently won a legal action for libel against both the police and the CPS.⁷³

Again, the perception is of the UK State not merely 'turning a blind eye' to Islamic radicalism – but acting in such a way as to encourage extreme attitudes and behaviours.

A sequel to the above Channel 4 documentary, titled 'Undercover Mosque: The Return', was broadcast some 20 months later, on the 1st September 2018. ⁷⁴ A core part of that broadcast concerned the content of Islamic preaching and teaching material at the Islamic Cultural Centre and Mosque in Regent's Park, London. ⁷⁵

Although the London Central Mosque is a registered UK charity, it is very much a non-UK Institution – it is a centre for the advocacy and promotion of Islam, effectively owned and operated by embassies of the major Islamic states from around the World (especially Gulf states) who have a presence in London. ⁷⁶

Evidence was found of extreme, bigoted views being promulgated at that establishment – and especially against Christians, Jews and the "kuffaars". Perhaps most shocking of all were examples of where a friendly, public face was shown to non-Muslim visitors (e.g. a party of school children) whilst extreme contempt and disdain of non-Muslims was exhibited when it was believed no non-Muslims were present.

Such content included a female preacher telling her all female audience (amongst other things) that Muslims who convert to another faith (apostates) should be killed. However she was very careful to say she did not condone terrorism, or the breaking of existing laws within the UK.

In that regard, she therefore said (and this is especially terrifying in its potential implications): "*This is not to tell you to start killing people ... There must be a Muslim leader, when the Muslim army becomes stronger, when Islam has grown enough*".

⁷¹ Source: Daily Mail, 9th August 2007

⁷² OFCOM Broadcast Bulletin, Issue N° 97, 19th November 2007, page 9

⁷³ See report in: 'Legal Opinion: How accusations of TV fakery led to a libel action', The Independent, 21st May 2008, <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/home-news/legal-opinion-how-accusations-of-tv-fakery-led-to-a-libel-action-831490.html>

⁷⁴ 'Undercover Mosque: The Return', Dispatches, Channel 4, 1st September 2008, source: www.channel4.com

⁷⁵ 'Preachers of separation at work inside British mosques', 'Sara Hassan', The Telegraph, 31st August 2008

⁷⁶ The London Central Mosque and Islamic Cultural Centre is a registered charity (N° 231920). All 14 of the Council of Management members are high officials from, and appointed by, London embassy staff Islamic countries. Similarly, all 14 trustees are high officials from, and appointed by, London embassy staff Islamic countries.

Given the context, the venue, and the audience, then it is almost impossible to see this as anything other than the promotion of a desire and intent to see the UK becoming an Islamic state in which its Muslim inhabitants should be prepared to kill those who reject Islam.

Notably, this is occurring in the principal mosque in the UK that is widely admired and supported by the UK State, and which is closely connected to the Saudi Government and Saudi religious institutions.

The Channel 4 investigation also found that despite the many criticisms carried and provoked by its previous documentary, the Regent's Park Mosque bookshop was still selling material (DVDs) containing extremist content directed against the 'unbelievers'.

That material included the speeches of the Islamic preacher Sheik Khalid YASIN, who (for example) praises the beheadings practiced in Saudi Arabia – and saying: *“At least I say, we Muslims should have that kind of power all over the world.”* He also extends that argument, not just to public beheadings, but also to the Sharia practices of amputations, lashings (public flogging), and crucifixion, for 'wrongdoers'.⁷⁷

In response to the Channel 4 documentary, the Director General of the Islamic Cultural Centre and Mosque at Regent's Park, Dr. Ahmad AL-DUBAYAN, stated in a ICC press release that none of the featured speakers had been authorised to speak at the ICC / Mosque, and that the ICC / Mosque *“categorically rejects and condemns any teachings which aims to incite division and rancour between communities in our country.”* In that press release he went on to say: *“The ICC is committed to building relationships between faiths and promoting cross-cultural understanding and urges the UK media to support its aims.”*⁷⁸

It is noted that Hardcash Productions Ltd. (who produced the documentary for Channel 4) invited those featured in that documentary for comment, several weeks prior to its completion and release. Those comments were included in full within the documentary – the apparent exception being some of the lengthy responses from Sheik Khalid YASIN that were offensively rude and vulgar, and obviously with the intention of obfuscating and excusing the matter.⁷⁹

4. The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

So, what do we find upon examination of premier Islamic nation, The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, and its global projection of Islamic theocracy, dogma and rule in the form of Salafism and Wahhabism?

In a study of the conflict in Syria, a leading Swedish research institute provides this insightful description of Salafism: *“In modern terms, Salafism is a strictly orthodox form of Sunni Islamism, inspired by theologians in Saudi Arabia and other Gulf states, and sometimes disparagingly known as ‘Wahhabism’ ... They are*

⁷⁷ In: 'Building a Muslim Community', copyright One Islam Productions, (DVD format)

⁷⁸ Press Release, Islamic Cultural Centre, Regent's Park, London, 5th September 2008

⁷⁹ As posted by Sheik Khalid Yasin on the 14th August 2008, under the banner: 'Channel 4 VS Shaykh Khalud Yasin – important – Please Read! Watch Shaykh Yasin FOR FREE – LIVE INTERNET BROADCASTING. Promote Islam, gain Aijr and EARN BIG MONEY! Source: www.forum.challengeyoursoul.com/forum/topic1696.html - downloaded via the Wayback Machine' archive retrieval service, on the 19th May 2019

often intolerant of other faiths, including non-Sunni Islamic minorities, and Sufism, and they generally shun nationalism and other non-religious ideologies. The so-called Salafi-Jihadi trend advocates global armed struggle (jihad) against the West and most contemporary Muslim rulers. Al-Qaeda is one such Salafi-Jihadi group, although there are many others.”^{80 81}

The prominent role that Salafi/Wahhabist ideology has played in the growth of global Jihadist terrorism is now well documented – and, especially, by means of the radicalization of young Muslims through Saudi-funded mosques located within numerous European cities and towns.^{82 83}

Therefore what we see, in terms of the spread of Salafism, is not merely an intolerance of those holding other beliefs, but a religious sect that promulgates hatred against others for not holding (or not being submissive to) Salafist dogma – an intolerance which ultimately (and all-to-frequently) provides a basis for acts of extreme barbarity, cruelty and murderous violence.

It is therefore necessary to consider the historical background to events described within this report – and especially the Saudi and Gulf States’ financing of expansionist Islamist global jihad in various regions of the World, including: Western Europe; Eastern Europe; the Caucuses; North Africa; East Africa; and (most recently) Near Eastern countries, including Syria. This has occurred with the tacit support (at least) of the UK State, including allowing the UK to be used as a base for the export of Islamic terrorism.^{84 85}

In 2010 leaks of US State Department cables were attracting the attention of (even) mainstream newspaper journalists.^{86 87} Contents of those classified cables included such observations as: “... *donors in Saudi Arabia constitute the most significant source of funding to Sunni terrorist groups worldwide.*”⁸⁸

A 2005 report to Congress, by the US Government Accountability Office (GAO), included the following statement: “*A number of sources have reported that Saudi private entities and individuals, as well as*

⁸⁰ ‘Syria’s Salafi Insurgents: The Rise of The Syrian Islamic Front’, by Aron Lund, The Swedish Institute of International Affairs, Occasional Papers, No 17, March 2013

⁸¹ In terms of the influence of Salafist-Jihadist influence in the Islamic Magreb (Sahel region), see: ‘It’s Salafi-Jihadist Insurgency, Stupid! – A Policy Briefing’, by Noman Benotman, Gioia Forster and Roisin Blake, The Quilliam Foundation, undated

⁸² ‘Salafi-Jihadi Terrorism in Italy’, by Carl Björkman, in M. Ranstorp (ed.), *Understanding Violent Radicalisation: Terrorist and Jihadist Movements in Europe*, Oxon: Routledge, 2010, pages 231-255

⁸³ ‘The Involvement of Salafism/Wahhabism in The Support and Supply of Arms to Rebel Groups Around The World’, Study, Directorate-General For External Policies of The Union, by Claude MONIQUET, CEO, European Strategic Intelligence and Security Center (ESIS), Belgium, The European Parliament, June 2013, reference: EXPO/B/AFET /FWC/2009-01/Lot4/23, PE 457.137

⁸⁴ Unfortunately there has to be considerable concern regarding the extent to which Saudi and Gulf States’ money has been used to assist the expansion of a violent, fascistic Islamist ideology – and, in particular the destabilization of countries and regions through the financing of fundamentalism and terrorist mercenary groups. Since the early 1990s such tactics (of Islamic Jihad) have been apparent in: Southern Europe (the Balkans, including Bosnia and Kosovo); the Caucuses (Chechnya, Ingushetia and North Ossetia); East Africa (Somalia, Sudan, and Kenya); North Africa (Libya), and most recently in Iraq, Lebanon and (most especially) in Syria. See: ‘The Process – Fomenting Radicalism, Fanaticism and Conflict [1]’, by Tony Shell, October 2012, Ref. special_projects_17

⁸⁵ ‘Terror Base UK – Inside A Secret War’, by Neil Doyle, Mainstream Publishing Company (Edinburgh) Ltd., 2006

⁸⁶ ‘US Embassy Cables: Hillary Clinton Says Saudi Arabia “A Critical Source Of Terrorist Funding”’, The Guardian, Sunday 5th December 2010, source: <http://www.theguardian.com/world/us-embassy-cables-documents/242073>

⁸⁷ ‘Wikileaks Cables Portray Saudi Arabia As A Cash Machine For Terrorists’, by Declan Walsh, The Guardian, Sunday 5th December 2010, source: <http://www.theguardian.com/world/2010/dec/05/wikileaks-cables-saudi-terrorist-funding>

⁸⁸ Note that ‘LeT’ refers to Lashkar-e-Taiba – the perpetrators of the 2008 Mumbai (India) massacre.

*sources from other countries, are allegedly financing or supporting Islamic extremism. For example, in July 2005, a Treasury official testified before Congress that Saudi Arabia-based and-funded organizations remain a key source for the promotion of ideologies used by terrorists and violent extremists around the world to justify their agenda.”*⁸⁹.

In regard to support from Government agencies, for the establishment of numerous Saudi-funded, Salafist mosques within the UK the context is the evidence of a long history of human rights abuses committed by the Saudi administration - and which even the UK Government acknowledges.⁹⁰

The GAO report also included the observation: *“In September 2004 the Secretary of State designated Saudi Arabia, for the first time, as a country of particular concern for its severe violations of religious freedom within its borders. The Department of State’s 2004 International Religious Freedom Report to Congress states that freedom of religion does not exist in Saudi Arabia and that basic religious freedoms are denied to all but those who adhere to Saudi Arabia’s sanctioned version of Sunni Islam.”*⁹¹

Notably, Amnesty International reported in 2012 that *“At least 250 prisoners remained under sentence of death, including some sentenced for offences not involving violence, such as apostasy [a renunciation of Islam] and sorcery”* and in 2013 AI noted that *“The courts continue to impose sentences of flogging as a principal or additional punishment for many offences. At least five defendants were sentenced to flogging of 1,000 to 2,500 lashes.”*^{92 93} Others have commented on recent Saudi legislation that defines *“acts of terrorism”* to include expressing dissent, or protesting, against the Government.⁹⁴ Approximately eighty persons are executed each year by the Saudi authorities (by public beheading).

The situation appears to be getting worse. At the time of the writing of this report (April 2019) it has been reported that 37 people were executed in Saudi Arabia in a single day, including 3 who were minors at the time of their alleged offence. One of those executed was then ‘crucified’ (for public display purposes) – just 2 days after Easter. Of the 37 executed, no less than 33 were Shia Muslims. Amnesty International noted that of the 37 executed, 11 were convicted of spying, and 14 others for violent offences including participation in anti-Government demonstrations (and who told the court that their ‘confessions’ had been extracted from them through torture).⁹⁵ Executions in Saudi Arabia involve a long, humiliating, drawn-out public spectacle, culminating in decapitation by a sword.

⁸⁹ ‘Information On U.S. Agencies’ Efforts To Address Islamic Extremism’, US Government Accountability Office, Report to Congress (ref. GAO-05-852), September 2005, page II

⁹⁰ ‘Saudi Arabia - Country of Concern’, Foreign and Commonwealth Office, 10th April 2014

⁹¹ ‘Information On U.S. Agencies’ Efforts To Address Islamic Extremism’, US Government Accountability Office, Report to Congress (ref. GAO-05-852), September 2005, page 2

⁹² ‘Annual Report 2012 - Saudi Arabia’, Amnesty International, source: <http://www.amnesty.org/en/region/saudi-arabia/report-2012>

⁹³ ‘Annual Report 2013 - Saudi Arabia’, Amnesty International, source: <http://www.amnesty.org/en/region/saudi-arabia/report-2013>

⁹⁴ ‘Human Rights in Saudi Arabia, An Even Longer Way To Go - Political Dissent is Still Being Crushed’, The Economist, 17th May 2014, source: <http://www.economist.com/news/middle-east-and-africa/21602253-political-dissent-still-being-crushed-even-longer-way-go>

⁹⁵ ‘UK Condemns Saudi Arabia Over “Repulsive” Mass Executions’, by Patrick Wintour, Diplomatic Editor, The Guardian, 24th April 2019.

In this regard it is useful to examine the activities of organizations such as The Saudi National Organization for Human Rights (NOHR).

It appears that the NOHR operates to an agenda very different to the human rights organizations within Western countries. For example, there is this observation: *“In April 2004 the [Saudi] government approved the establishment of the country’s first non-governmental human rights organization, the Saudi National Organization for Human Rights (NOHR), whose mandate is monitoring human rights violations and reporting them to relevant government organs, especially the Ministry of Interior. ... It has, however, kept a low profile on issues pertaining to freedom of expression and politically motivated detentions. Indeed, since the creation of the NOHR, there has been no substantial improvement in the Saudi human rights record. These weaknesses have negatively impacted the organization’s image. Dissenting groups have come to view the NOHR as a co-opted arm of the government created to quiet internal criticisms.”*⁹⁶

In that role, the NOHR therefore appears to operate in very much the same manner as its Saudi sister-organization, the National Society for Human Rights (NSHR). That is to say, the primary objectives (of both NOHR and NSHR) being: (1) to ensure the proper and rigorous application of Islamic (Sharia) Law within the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia; and (2) to campaign against the perceived persecutions of Muslim minorities within non-Islamic countries and regions, worldwide. Such persecutions are perceived to be originating from both foreign State and non-State actors.^{97 98}

On the 2nd October 2018 the Saudi dissident and world-renown journalist Jamal Kashoggi was murdered in the Saudi consulate, in Istanbul, by a 15-man team of killers brought into the country for that purpose. Given the circumstances and manner of Kashoggi’s brutal murder, strong suspicions regarding the identity of the initiators of that act have fallen upon senior members of the Saudi Government and the Saudi Royal Household. Turkish police investigators were to subsequently discover Kashoggi’s dismembered body-parts buried in the garden of the Saudi general consul’s house.

Whilst Jamal Kashoggi’s fiancée is still awaiting justice, the response of Western governments to his gruesome murder has been extraordinarily muted.

However, of particular concern is the extensive evidence of connections between people and organizations within Saudi Arabia, and Global Islamic Jihad. Therefore the focus should be on Saudi Arabia as both the ideological and the funding source of international Islamic terrorism.

Unfortunately there has to be considerable concern regarding the extent to which Saudi and Gulf States’ money has been used to assist the expansion of a violent, fascistic Islamist ideology – and, in particular

⁹⁶ ‘The Saudi Labyrinth: Evaluating the Current Political Opening’, by Amr Hamzawy, Democracy and Rule of Law, Middle East Series, Carnegie Papers, The Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, N° 68, April 2006 – page 13.

⁹⁷ For example, the conflict between the Muslim Uighars in north China and the Chinese Government, and the need to bring pressure upon China, as in: ‘Scratching Chinaware’, by Dr. Hamad AL-MAJID, Asharq Alawsat / Saudi Research and Publishing Company, 14th July 2009.

⁹⁸ For example: a NSHR report of 2006, that includes condemnation of the publication of the Prophet Mohammed cartoons (in Jyllands-Posten, Denmark, 30th September 2005); and of the content of a lecture given by The Pope that same year in which the words were seen as unacceptably ‘antagonistic’ (given at the Regensburg University, Bavaria, on the 12th October 2006).

the destabilization of countries and regions through the financing of fundamentalism and terrorist mercenary groups. Since the early 1990s such tactics (of Islamic Jihad) have been apparent in: Southern Europe (the Balkans, including Bosnia and Kosovo) and in the Caucuses (Armenia, Chechnya, Ingushetia and North Ossetia).

Again it is necessary to look at the more contemporary history, in regard to this region (i.e. of the Balkans, and especially particular regions of the former Federal Yugoslavian Republic: Kosovo and Bosnia).

Significant US military involvement in the Bosnia conflict began in the period late 1994 to the beginning of 1995. It was to be predominantly in the form of covert operations by the intelligence services (CIA and DIA activities in Croatia, Serbia and Bosnia) – and in a massive supply of arms and military training provided to the Muslim Bosniac Army by Washington-based private military companies.

The funding for that US involvement in Bosnia was provided by Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, the UAE, Brunei, and Malaysia. From information inadvertently revealed by a director of the DIA, it is now known that payments received by private military companies in the 1990s from the US Treasury (on the authorization of the US State Department, for their work in Croatia and Bosnia) originated from the coffers of Saudi Arabia, the Gulf States, and several far eastern countries.^{99 100}

In August 1995 the NATO-backed ‘Operation Storm’ made possible the expulsion of 200,000 ethnic Serbians from the Krajina region by the Croat and Muslim Bosniac armies. This was a crime against humanity and a war crime – the purpose of which was described in a later ICTY indictment: *“the forcible and permanent removal of the Serb [Christian Orthodox] population from the Krajina region, including by the plunder, damage and outright destruction of the property of the Serb population, so as to discourage or prevent members of that population from returning to their houses and resuming habitation.”*¹⁰¹

Within a year of the end of the Kosovo War (1999) it was widely reported (by reputable sources) that the NATO-led alliance had fabricated stories of a Serbian *“genocide”* against Kosovo Muslims – as a pretext to be given to NATO for launching its massive bombing campaign against Serbia.^{102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109}

⁹⁹ Remarks by General Ed Soyster, director of the DIA and formally of the MPRI (12 years), with regard to the role of MPRI in Croatia and Bosnia from 1994 onwards: *“In this case, the money [for MPRI] came from Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, United Arab Emirates, Brunei and Malaysia. It was a private contract with the US Ambassador in charge. When MPRI got a check, it was from the US Treasury.”* [pages 9 and 10]. Source: ‘The Privatization of National Security’, CLOSED MEETING, The Rohatyn Center for International Affairs, Middlebury College and The Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs, Princeton University, 9th October 2004 – Full Transcript

¹⁰⁰ *“In order to get the controlling elements to sign up for the Dayton Accords, there was a promise that the federation, the combination of the Muslim Bosniac army and the HBO (the Croatian army in Bosnia), they would be brought together and provided equipment and training to bring them up to a level to deter war, hopefully, or to defend their territory with, against the Serbs. ... We’re [the MPRI] teaching total military training. ... In the case of Bosnia, which is a US-led project to satisfy this requirement for training and equipment, that money came from donor nations around the world. ... Saudi Arabia, the UAE, Malaysia, Brunei, and Kuwait. ... And our contract is with the Bosnian, directly with the Bosnian federation, but the funding is controlled by the State Department.”* Note that MPRI first went into Croatia in January 1995, and that the MPRI is licensed by the US State Department. General Ed Soyster was at that time the Vice President, International Operations of MPRI. Source: ‘Interview, Lt Gen Ed Soyster’, source: www.cdi.org/adm/1113/soyster.htm

¹⁰¹ ‘Crimes Against Humanity, and Violations of The Laws or Customs of War’, The Prosecutor versus Ante GOTOVINA, Case No IT-01-45, The International Criminal Tribunal For The Former Yugoslavia, 14th February 2004, source: http://www.icty.org/x/cases/gotovina_old/ind/end/got-ai04022e.htm

¹⁰² ‘The Kosovo Fraud – Will They Ever Admit It?’, Anti War, 21st August 2000, source:

As early as March 2000, Mr. Jiri Dienstbier, the UN Special Rapporteur on Human Rights, delivered an damning official report to the UN in which he stated that: *“What the KLA [Kosovo Liberation Army] is doing in Kosovo has nothing to do with retaliation for what Serb authorities did. It is about the realization of a plan of ethnic cleansing, for destabilization of the entire region and creation of a Greater Albania”*.¹¹⁰

This was not to be the only example where a bogus allegation of a fiction of ‘the genocide against a Muslim minority in Europe’, by a native Christian/non-Muslim majority, was to be used to conceal the fact that the exact opposite was in place – of the genocide/ethnic cleansing’ of Christians, through forced demographic change, and by the incitement of separatism within the minority community by outside forces.

The end-purpose of the war in the former Federal Republic of Yugoslavia was to re-create an Islamic state (caliphate) within the Balkans. It also enabled Islamic terrorist groups, such as Al-Gama’at al-Islamiyya, to establish an effective presence in the most unstable and volatile region of Europe.¹¹¹

So, what of other regions?

Between the 1st and the 3rd September 2004 a terrorist attack, by Islamic Chechen separatists, on a school in the North Ossetia led to the deaths of more than 350 adults and children.

<http://www.antiwar.com/justin/j082100.html>

¹⁰³ ‘The Propaganda War – Audrey Gillan Tries To Find The Evidence For Mass Atrocities in Kosovo’, by Audrey Gillan, The Guardian, 21st August 2000, source: <http://www.theguardian.com/world/2000/aug/21/kosovo.comment>

¹⁰⁴ ‘US/NATO Propaganda And The So-Called Racak “Massacre”’, by Preston Wood, 10th June 2000, International Tribunal For US/NATO War Crimes In Yugoslavia, source: <http://www.iacenter.org/warcrime/pwood.htm>

¹⁰⁵ ‘Some cracks in the media propaganda front: reports of grossly exaggerated atrocity stories in Kosovo’, by Barry Grey, 6th July 1999, World Socialist Website, source: <http://www.wsws.org/en/articles/1999/07/kos-j06.html>

¹⁰⁶ The KFOR/NATO report contained observations that the ‘Greater Albania’ project has been able to draw upon considerable support from within the United States of America: *“HALITI can also rely on the financial support of the ‘American Albanian Civil League’, which is the American Albanian emigrants agency for the support of the ‘Greater Albanian project in the USA’.*’, ‘J2 Special Projects – Target Folder for Mr. Xhavit HALITI’, intelligence surveillance report for the Kosovo Stabilisation FORCE (KFOR), marked SECRET Rel USA KFOR and NATO, 10th March 2004, page 39

¹⁰⁷ Therefore of particular interest are the allegations, contained within the KFOR/NATO report, that: *“Xhavit HALITI with Fatos NANO (former Prime Minister of ALBANIA) were in charge of destabilising the area before the KOSOVO conflict started and they are supporters of GREATER ALBANIA”*. ‘J2 Special Projects – Target Folder for Mr. Xhavit HALITI’, intelligence surveillance report for the Kosovo Stabilisation FORCE (KFOR), marked SECRET Rel USA KFOR and NATO, 10th March 2004, pages 4, 40

¹⁰⁸ As early as March 2000, Mr. Jiri Dienstbier, the UN Special Rapporteur on Human Rights, delivered an official report to the UN in which he stated that: *“What the KLA [Kosovo Liberation Army] is doing in Kosovo has nothing to do with retaliation for what Serb authorities did. It is about the realization of a plan of ethnic cleansing, for destabilization of the entire region and creation of a Greater Albania”*. Report to The United Nations Commission for Human Rights, by Jiri Dienstbier – The UN Special Rapporteur on Human Rights for former Yugoslavia, March 2000. Dr. Jiri Dienstbier is the former Spokesman for Charter 77, Foreign Minister of Czechoslovakia, and member of the Commission on Global Governance. From 1998 until 2001 Dr. Dienstbier served as Special Rapporteur of the United Nations Human Rights Commission for the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, Bosnia-Herzegovina and Croatia.

¹⁰⁹ ‘Report on The Drenica Group’, Bundesnachrichtendienst (BND intelligence service, Germany), marked SECRET, 22nd February 2005, ref. BND Analyse vom 22.02.2005, pages 8 and 12

¹¹⁰ Report to The United Nations Commission for Human Rights, by Jiri Dienstbier – The UN Special Rapporteur on Human Rights for former Yugoslavia, March 2000. Dr. Jiri Dienstbier is the former Spokesman for Charter 77, Foreign Minister of Czechoslovakia, and member of the Commission on Global Governance. From 1998 until 2001 Dr. Dienstbier served as Special Rapporteur of the United Nations Human Rights Commission for the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, Bosnia-Herzegovina and Croatia.

¹¹¹ See, for example: ‘UN Security Council Committee Pursuant To Resolutions 1267 (1999) and 1989 (2011) Concerning Al-Qaida and Associated Individuals and Entities’, Reference 1267/1989, QE.A.71.02, Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation (Bosnia and Herzegovina)

Despite the two recent civil wars in Chechnya now being seen as something of a watershed in the fight against Islamic radicalism, there are now strong indications that Western countries (including the UK) are attempting to re-ignite conflict within the region. This appears to have been a cynical geo-political manoeuvre, intended to put pressure on Vladimir Putin and the Russian administration.

In particular, the response of Western countries to the South Ossetia war (of August 2008) was a remarkable exercise in double standards. Whilst a separatist movement that threatened the territorial integrity of Russia (i.e. Chechnya) was deemed to be good, one that threatened the territorial integrity of a potential future member of NATO and the EU (South Ossetia, in Georgia) was not.^{112 113 114}

Given the unrest in this region over the last two decades, and the obvious efforts to re-ignite such conflict, the opinions of the local population (both the ethnic Russians/Christians, and the Ethnic Muslims) must carry particular weight.¹¹⁵

An example of the extreme propaganda being propagated is to be found on the website for the Muslim Public Affairs Committee UK (MPACUK) where, on the 7th April 2010, an article was published titled: “*Holocaust, 255,000 Muslims Butchered as Russia Tries To Wipe Out All Muslims in Caucasus.*”¹¹⁶

In August 2012 the UK magazine *New Statesmen* ran a bizarre article titled “*Putin’s Reign of Terror*” – referring to current Russian policy in Chechnya.¹¹⁷ However it is noted that the incidents of (lethal) violence and human rights abuses that the author referred to, all dated from approximately a decade previously – at the tail end of a brutal civil war in which atrocities were clearly committed by both sides. Contemporary evidence for a “*population that lives in fear of state violence*” was noticeably absent.

There have been claims that Prince BANDAR of Saudi Arabia (friend of HRH Prince CHARLES) made thinly veiled threats against Russia, for its support of a diplomatic solution to the Syrian crisis – with references to the possibility of terrorist attacks on the Sochi Winter Olympic Games by Saudi-controlled

¹¹² ‘Georgia Conflict Report: South Ossetia’, by Ashley Ubois, Professor McBride Politics of War and Peace, 17th May 2010

¹¹³ ‘The South Ossetian War’, Baylis, Wirtz and Gray, Strategy in The Contemporary World 3 Ed., Oxford University Press, 2010

¹¹⁴ ‘South Ossetia: The Burden of Recognition’, Europe Report No 205, International Crisis Group, 7th June 2010

¹¹⁵ In a poll conducted in 2009 in the North Caucasus, by the Levada Institute, and under the direction of independent observers, it was found that very few of the inhabitants attributed conflict in the region to either “*the fight of Chechen separatists for independence*” (6.2 per cent of Muslims; 7.0 per cent of ethnic Russians) or to “*responses to the harsh policies of the Russian military in the North Caucasus*” (8 per cent of Muslims; 4.1 per cent of ethnic Russians). Overwhelmingly such conflict was attributed by local Chechen people to either “*the desire of Russia’s enemies that Ossetia and Ingush peoples should fight, to prolong inter-ethnic conflict in the North Caucasus*” (22.6 per cent of Muslims; 24.5 per cent of ethnic Russians) or attributed to that of “*international terrorism*” (24.3 per cent of Muslims; 20.8 per cent of ethnic Russians). ‘Placing The Blame: Making Sense of Beslan’, by Gearóid Ó Tuathail, School of Public & International Affairs, Virginia Tech, Alexandria, USA, and published in *Political Geography* Volume N° 28 (2009) pages 4 to 15. See, in particular the poll results given in pages 12 to 13, and in Table 1. Source: <http://www.ncr.vt.edu/Highlights/ToalBeslan.pdf>

¹¹⁶ The MPACUK article was accompanied by a link to a slick third-party video, skilfully constructed through selected interviews, the use of menacing background music, and filming and editing techniques so as to incite an extreme response – to foment unbridled hatred against the Russian people. Source: ‘Holocaust: 255,000 Muslims Butchered As Russia Tries To Wipe Out All Muslims In Caucasus’, The Muslim Public Affairs Committee, 7th April 2010, source: <http://www.mpacuk.org/story/060410/holocaust-255000-muslims-butchered-russia-tries-wipe-out-all-muslims-caucuses.html>

¹¹⁷ ‘Putin’s Reign of Terror’, by Oliver Bullough, *The New Statesman*, 30th August 2012

Islamic groups based in Chechnya, should Russia not accede to Saudi demands. ¹¹⁸

Also in 2013, allegations arose of the involvement of Prince BANDAR BIN SULTAN's GID (the Saudi General Intelligence Directorate) in the Sarin gas attacks against Syrian civilians in Ghouta, Damascus. Evidence (from seemingly credible sources) has been presented to say these were 'false flag' operations, originated from within Saudi Arabia, with the intention of persuading people in the West to support the Saudis' call for military intervention (in Syria). ¹¹⁹

4. Countering a wicked, bogus, historical narrative

The following are just two examples of the promotion of an especially obnoxious narrative. It is a narrative that not only maligns the character of native European people, but also utterly maligns the memory of the many millions of those people (men, women and children) who have given their lives in the fight against the various forms of collectivist terrorism – fascism, communism, and religious fanaticism.

In 2008 Mr Abdurraheem Green informed followers of his Internet blog that he was working as The Dawah Coordinator at the London Central Mosque (i.e. at Regent's Park, central London). In that description, he provided a link for that work at www.ccuk.org which is presumably the website for the Islamic Cultural Centre – and which is also a part of the London Central Mosque. ¹²⁰

This is seen as highly significant, not least for the following reasons: firstly, that the London Central Mosque is undoubtedly the most important and influential mosque within the UK; secondly, the controversy in 2007/2008 surrounding the exposure by Channel 4 of the extreme hatemongering being promulgated within the London Central Mosque; and thirdly, that a 'Dawah Coordinator' will essentially be working to teach, instruct and direct those tasked with converting people to Islam.

In March 2014 Abdurraheem Green wrote this on his blog: "*Take some time to remind yourself that within Europeans there exists a vicious, racist, supremacist, xenophobic undercurrent and read about Hitler's rise to power!*" ¹²¹ It is seen as very significant that he talks of "Europeans" – not "some Europeans".

What is missing from his remarks is any reference to the many millions of European people who died in the war to defeat Hitler and the Nazis. Instead, all Europeans are seemingly portrayed as innately evil.

Undoubtedly many English people – and especially English people who have (now deceased) members of their families who fought and died fighting to save their country and help deliver the rest of Europe from the tyranny of Nazism – will be appalled and deeply offended by comments such as this.

¹¹⁸ See: 'Saudis Offer Russia Secret Oil Deal If It Drops Syria', by Ambrose Evans Pritchard, The Telegraph, 27th August 2013. Source: <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/newsbysector/energy/oilandgas/10266957/Saudis-offer-Russia-secret-oil-deal-if-it-drops-Syria.html>

¹¹⁹ For example, see: 'Saudi Arabia's 'Chemical Bandar' behind the Syrian chemical attacks?', by Mahdi Darius Nazemroaya, 5th September 2013, RT.com. Source: <http://rt.com/op-edge/saudi-chemical-behind-syrian-attack-421/>

¹²⁰ From: Abdurraheem Green's blog at: www.islamsgreen.org - downloaded on the 12th January 2008.

¹²¹ Source: 'The Day Hitler Became Dictator', http://www.islamsgreen.org/islams_green/2014/03/the-day-hitler-became-dictator.html

Within that same March 2014 post, Abdurraheem Green goes on to say: “*Headlines today about Jews in Germany are the same word for word as those during the Nazi rise to power except for one word: Take out ‘Jew’ and replace with ‘Muslim’.*”

What Abdurraheem Green is attempting to help promote is the absurd but highly inflammatory notion that ‘the Muslims are the new Jews’, that has now been touted across Europe for at least the past 15 years.

TELLMAMA UK is a subsidiary of the registered charity Faith Matters. It appears that its main activity is to solicit, from members of the UK Muslim community, allegations of anti-Muslim ‘hate’ incidents. It is an Islamic organization that enjoys formal affiliation status with most HM Police Constabulary.

In addition to the above role it has also chosen to put a considerable amount of effort in aligning itself with groups raising awareness of anti-Semitism within the UK, such as the Jewish organization the Community Security Trust (CST).

Prominent within that narrative is the portrayal of those who protest against Islamic terrorism and violent Muslim rape-gangs as ‘Islamophobic’ racists, with an innate predilections for ‘far-right’ political ideas and actions – and who should be seen in regard to the rise of Nazism in Germany in the 1930s, the Holocaust, and who (in addition) have “*the age old anti-Semitism that is entwined in their DNA.*”^{122 123 124}

There are many who will see such choices of words as deeply disturbing – not least, given that the comment was made specifically in the context of English people protesting Islamic violence.

Research in 2003, sponsored by the European Union Monitoring Center on Racism and Xenophobia (EUMC), identified young Arabs and Muslims as being mostly responsible for the significant rise in anti-Semitism within Europe.^{125 126}

The CST, working within a ‘partnership’ relationship with HM Constabulary and the Home Office, has found that for over an 11-year period (i.e. 2005-2016) the propensity for criminal, anti-Semitic acts to be

¹²² ‘Tommy Robinson – Back To His Hate Tweets’, TellMAMA, 3rd April 2013. Source: <https://tellmamauk.org/tommy-robinson-back-to-his-hate-tweets/>

¹²³ However TellMama UK did not proffer a solution as to what to do with those people deemed to have the ‘wrong DNA’.

¹²⁴ Paradoxically, the CST, working in partnership with HM Constabulary and the Home Office, has found that over an 11-year period (i.e. 2005-2016) that the propensity for criminal, anti-Semitic acts to be approximately 10-times more likely within the UK population of people of “*Asian and Arab descent*”, than within the rest of the population. Source: CST website ‘Anti-Semitic Incident Report’ downloads of documents for that period (from: <http://www.thecst.org.uk>). These downloads were carried out between February 2008 and May 2017. No doubt it would be taken as crude and extremely offensive to imply that this was because anti-Semitism was “*in the DNA*” of those people (i.e. UK people of ‘Asian and Arab descent’).

¹²⁵ Perhaps not surprisingly, the EUMC refused to publish the findings of that research, and chose instead to produce a totally disingenuous report (in 2004) denying the evidence. See: ‘European Study on anti-Semitism is Scrapped’, by Irene Zöch (Vienna), The Telegraph newspaper, 23rd November 2003, source: <http://www.telegraph.co.uk>

¹²⁶ ‘EU anti-Semitism Report called “Misleading”’, by Shlomo Shamir and Sharon Sadeh, Haaretz newspaper, 1st April 2004, source: <http://www.haaretz.com/print-edition/news/eu-anti-semitism-report-called-misleading-1.118559>

approximately 10-times more likely within the UK population of people of ‘Asian and Arab descent’ than the native, English population.¹²⁷

The US-based Anti-Defamation League (ADL) has found the UK to be one of the least anti-Semitic nations in the World – only 10 per cent of the White/Christian UK people were found to be “*harbouring anti-Semitism*”, however the figure for the UK Muslim population was more than half (i.e. 54 per cent).¹²⁸

The bogus ‘*Muslims are the new Jews*’ narrative, implied within Tell Mama UK output, makes the subsequent reference to a group’s DNA (as source of a supposed proclivity toward ‘far right’ ideology and to pro-genocide) being perceived as a seemingly very inflammatory comment. Obviously it begs the question: given that opinion, what might be the (anonymous) Tell Mama author’s proposed solution?¹²⁹

That narrative, as promulgated in England by organizations such as Tell Mama UK, is therefore likely to be seen as highly offensive to many native English people (with the asserted inference being that it is their “DNA” that makes some English people ‘anti-Semites’ and ‘Islamophobes’). And, unfortunately, a bogus accusation of that form, against an individual or a group, is often used as a means of provoking extreme and totally unjustified hate (and sometimes extreme violence) against that individual or group.¹³⁰

The concern was that such crude caricatures might provoke anti-nativist (racist) bigotry and violent criminal behaviour – and possibly, unwittingly, help incite further terrorist acts of Islamic mass murder, such as seen in London and Manchester in recent years.¹³¹

As a response to, and critique of that narrative, the following is therefore an overview (and by necessity rather brief) of the historical context required to counter such potentially dangerous nonsense.

It is important to note the historical relationship between Islam and the rise of both fascist and genocide (elimination-ism) ideologies within Europe – from the early 1900s and onwards.^{132 133 134}

¹²⁷ Source: CST website ‘Anti-Semitic Incident Report’ downloads of documents for that period (from: <http://www.thecst.org.uk>). These downloads were carried out between February 2008 and May 2017.

¹²⁸ Anti-Defamation League (ADL) survey figures for 2015, downloaded from the ADL website in 2017.

¹²⁹ The first reference to a bogus ‘*Muslims are the new Jews*’ narrative appeared in 2003, when the academic Dr. Masoud Kamali – a professor of social work at Mid Sweden University and the Center for Multi-ethnic Research at Uppsala University – asserted: “*In Europe today, and in Sweden, Muslims have taken the place of the Jews in the 30's.*” Notably Dr. Kamali led the EU-funded research project ‘The European Dilemma: Institutional Patterns and Politics of Racial Discrimination’ for the period 2002-2006. ‘Muslims Demonized – Like Jews’, *Aftonbladet*, Home/Debate, 28th October 2003, source: <http://www.aftonbladet.se>

¹³⁰ In July 2017 the I wrote to the Home Secretary (Amber Rudd MP) and the National Police Chiefs Council (NPCC), pointing out the manner in which Tell Mama UK had caricatured (including by means of crude ‘cartoons’) the assumed behaviour and attitude of ‘white’ people within the UK, toward Muslims – and that this could be seen to be an extremely offensive and racist narrative. Reference: <https://www.facebook.com/355073161177198/photos/1336143183070186/>

¹³¹ UK Home Office data shows native (‘White’) people of England and Wales to have suffered most (to a hugely disproportionate extent) from racist and interracial violence – despite the non-native (BME) population being only approximately 10 per cent of the population. ‘Statistics on Race and The Criminal Justice System’; The Home Office. Three documents: 1997-2000, ISBN 1-84082-587-1; 2001-2004, ISBN 1-84473-508-7; 2004-2007, no ISBN; <http://www.justice.gov.uk/publications/statistics.htm>; and Home Office report: OLR 25-06, ‘Black and Minority Group’s Experiences and Perceptions of Crime, Racially Motivated Crime and The Police: Findings From The 2004/05 British Crime Survey’.

¹³² ‘Genocide, I: A Crime Without a Name’, Dr. Raphael Lemkin, April 1946, *American Scholar*, Volume 15, No 2 (April 1946), p. 227-230

The context is the progenitor role played by fundamentalist Islamic movements from that time (originating in North Africa and the Near East) in the instigation of mass atrocities, pogroms, and genocides committed across many parts of Europe and the Caucasus (including against Jews in central Europe, Orthodox Christians within the Balkans and in Armenia, and anti-fascist/communist Republicans in Spain).

These matters must therefore include particular reference to the genocide against the Christian population of Armenia in the first quarter of the 20th Century.^{135 136 137 138 139 140}

In this regard, it is a useful exercise to examine more recent events in that region – those events being in Azerbaijan, Armenia and Nagorno-Karabakh – and in particular the apparent eagerness for NATO/US/UK/Israeli/Turkish/Saudi support for future potential military operations by Azerbaijan against the Christian-Armenian breakaway region of Nagorno-Karabakh.^{141 142 143 144 145 146}

¹³³ ‘Genocide as a Crime Under International Law’, Dr. Raphael Lemkin, 1947, American Journal of International Law (1947), Volume 41(1), p. 145-151

¹³⁴ For a detailed exploration of ‘eliminationist’ ideology and its history, see: ‘Worse Than War – Genocide, Eliminationism, and The Ongoing Assault on Humanity’, by Daniel Jonah Goldhagen, Little, Brown Book Group, London, 2010.

¹³⁵ ‘A History of the First World War in 100 Moments: The Turkish Holocaust Begins’, by Robert Fiske, The Independent, 28th April 2014

¹³⁶ ‘The 1915 Armenian genocide: finding a fit testament to a timeless crime’, by Robert Fiske, 6th April 2014, The Independent

¹³⁷ ‘The extraordinary story of 100-year-old Yevnigue Salibian, one of the last people alive who can recall the horror of the Armenian genocide’, by Robert Fiske, The Independent, 30th March 2014

¹³⁸ ‘Armenian Genocide’, article created with the help of Dr. Asya Darbinyan, Combat Genocide, undated, source: <http://www.combatgenocide.org>

¹³⁹ ‘Frequently Asked Questions about the Armenian Genocide’, source: <http://www.armenian-genocide.org>

¹⁴⁰ “The revelation comes from the book written by Professor Stefan Ihrig: ‘Atatürk in the Nazi Imagination’ (Atatürk in the Nazi imagery), to which the Wall Street Journal and other US media have dedicated long articles. In the text, Ihrig argues that it was not Benito Mussolini who inspired the first actions of the Fuhrer, but Mustafa Kemal Atatürk, the Father of all Turks. The reason? The extermination of the Armenians (the first of the modern era) and the expulsion of the Greeks from Turkey.” in ‘Mussolini, Hitler and Islam: what the new fascists would never want to know’, Ibrahim al-Badri, 19th August 2018, source: <https://aminoapps.com/c/world-history/page/blog/...>

¹⁴¹ “As part of last year's arms deal, Azerbaijan is building up to 60 Israeli-designed drones, giving it reconnaissance means far greater than many analysts believe would be needed just to guard oil installations or even to mount any operations against the breakaway, ethnic Armenian enclave of Nagorno-Karabakh. ... However, Israel's confrontation with Iran may turn out, the arms build-up in Azerbaijan, including recent Israeli upgrades for its Soviet T-72 tanks, may have consequences for the wider region and for the stand-off with Armenia - consequences that would trouble all the powers with stakes in the Caspian region. ‘We keep buying arms. On the one hand, it's a good strategy to frighten Armenia,’ one of the former Azeri officers said of the shaky, 18-year-old ceasefire over Nagorno-Karabakh. ‘But you don't collect weapons to hang on the wall and gather dust. One day, all these could be used.’” Source: ‘Azerbaijan Eyes Aiding Israel Against Iran’, by Thomas Grove, Reuters, 1st October 2012,

¹⁴² “Where other Western nations are reluctant to sell ground combat systems to the Azerbaijanis for fear of encouraging Azerbaijan to resort to war to regain NK and the occupied territories, Israel is free to make substantial arms sales and benefits greatly from deals with its well-heeled client. Israel's relations with Azerbaijan are based strongly on pragmatism and a keen appreciation of priorities. Israel's main goal is to preserve Azerbaijan as an ally against Iran, a platform for reconnaissance of that country and as a market for military hardware. In order to ensure those goals, the Israelis have keenly attuned themselves to the GOAJ's needs as an OIC member and a state like Israel wedged between large, powerful and unfriendly neighbors. They forgo the option of pressuring the GOAJ on secondary issues to secure the primary ones. It is apparent to us that for now both sides are well satisfied with the bilateral state of affairs.” Source: US State Department cable from ‘Azerbaijan [US Diplomatic Mission], SECRET NOFORN, 13th January 2009, sent to CIA, DIA, NSC, NATO, OSCE, US Secretary of State, et al. Source: Wikileaks.

¹⁴³ ‘Armenian “Traces” In The Proliferation of Russian Weapons In Iran’, by Ze’ev Wolfson, Ariel Center for Policy Research (ACPR), 2002, ACPR Policy Paper N° 143

¹⁴⁴ “The British government was also covertly helping to arm Azerbaijan. The Independent reported in January 19904 that London had ‘given tacit support to an illegal scheme to supply Azerbaijan with military backing in its war with Armenia.’....” Source: NATO's Secret Islamic Jihad Oil And The Battle For Chechnya www.nlpwessex.org/docs/ukraine-caspian.htm A Caucasian Republic In An Oil And Gas Transit Corridor Between The Caspian And Black Seas’

It is therefore crucially important to examine the connections between Islam, fascism, Nazism, anti-Semitism, and anti-Christianity in Europe, in the first half of the 20th Century.

In 1920 Bertrand RUSSELL observed: *“Among religions, Bolshevism is to be reckoned with Mohammedanism rather than with Christianity and Buddhism. Christianity and Buddhism are primarily personal religions, with mystical doctrines and a love of contemplation. Mohammedanism and Bolshevism are practical, social, unspiritual, concerned to win the empire of the world.”*¹⁴⁷

In the late 1930s (during the rise of Nazism in Germany) Carl JUNG critically observed: *“We do not know whether Hitler is going to found a new Islam. He is already on the way; he is like Mohammed. The emotion in Germany is Islamic. They are all drunk with wild god. That can be the historic future.”*¹⁴⁸

In the course of the Spanish Civil War (1936 – 1939) Generalissimo Francisco FRANCO chose to use his ‘Army of Africa’ for particular, important reasons – reasons which were based primarily on his own knowledge (from his time in North Africa) of the capacity of the Moroccan Muslim troops for inflicting extreme terror and cruelty on (especially) local civilian populations.

This was not a minor aspect of that conflict – the fascist ‘Army of Africa’ was made up of approximately 136,000 Muslim volunteers, and was in the vanguard of many of the major actions of the war. The Muslims treated the ‘enemy’ – including all local, civilian inhabitants (men, women and children) – as a godless, inferior people that needed to be eliminated: *“The Moors and Legionaries spread terror wherever they went, looted the villages they captured, raped the women they found, killed their prisoners and sexually mutilated the corpses.”*¹⁴⁹

We even have first-hand accounts of such atrocities from the Muslim combatants themselves: *“We spared nothing and no one. We uprooted everything and killed everyone we encountered. ... We chanted an Islamic prayer to praise the Prophet Mohammed before launching raids. Horrified Spaniards attempted to flee as soon as they heard the words of our prayer.”*¹⁵⁰

Notably, connections between Islam and the emergence of fascism in the early 20th Century Europe – in its most murderous forms of genocide – can be found in other parts of Europe at that same time.

¹⁴⁵ ‘Nogorno-Karabakh Clash: Turkey Backs Azeris “To The End” Against Armenia’, BBC News, April 2016, source: <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-europe-35953358>

¹⁴⁶ *“In addition to the radical Palestinian groups, a variety of other terrorists have facilities and received terrorist training in Syria or Syrian-controlled areas of Lebanon: the Japanese Red Army; the Kurdish Labour Party; the Armenian Secret Army for The Liberation of Armenia (ASALA); and the Pakistani Al Zulfakar.”* Source: US State Department cable from ‘SECSTATE WASHDC.’, 19th December 1986, sent to all diplomatic and consular, US Embassy Beirut, US Embassy Kabul, US Embassy Moscow, US Consul Leningrad. Source: Wikileaks.

¹⁴⁷ ‘Theory and Practice of Bolshevism’, by Bertrand RUSSELL, 1920

¹⁴⁸ ‘The Symbolic Life: Miscellaneous Writings’, Carl Gustav Jung, Volume 18 of the Collected Works of C. G. Jung, Routledge, 1977, ISBN 9780710082919, page 639.

¹⁴⁹ ‘General Franco as a Military Leader, Paul Preston, 22nd January 1993, The University of Wales College of Cardiff, published in The transactions of the Royal Historical Society: sixth series, 4 . pp. 21-41. ISSN 0080-4401, Source: <http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/26103/>

¹⁵⁰ ‘Morocco Tackles Painful Role on Spain’s Past’, by Zakia Abdennebi, Reuters, 15th January 2009, source: <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-morocco-spain-war/morocco-tackles-painful-role- in-spains-past-idUSTRE50E0NT20090115>

Hasan AL BANNA, who founded the Muslim Brotherhood in Egypt in 1928, is reported to have “*revered the militarism of Mussolini and the Italian fascists*”. He also emphasized to his followers that *Jihad* was not just a defense of Muslim lands but was a means “*to safeguard the mission of spreading Islam*”.¹⁵¹

Amin AL-HUSSEINI – also of the Muslim Brotherhood (and the Grand Mufti of Jerusalem) – had frequent contacts with the Nazis throughout the 1930s and 1940s. He is identified as having been one of the chief architects of the preparations for the ‘final solution’ (the extermination of the Jews in Europe).

As the prominent scholar and writer Lenni Brenner has noted: “*It was the Arabs [Muslims], not the Zionists, who compelled the Nazis to re-examine their pro-Zionist orientation.*”^{152 153}

In 1941 the Grand Mufti Amin AL-HUSSEINI took up the position of ‘Protector of Islam’ in Nazi-occupied Bosnia. In 1942 he was granted a personal meeting with Adolf Hitler who subsequently appointed him as head of a Nazi-Muslim government in exile, and Director of the ‘Islamische Zentralinstitut’ in the German city of Dresden.

In 1943 Hitler ordered the creation of the Nazi SS Hanzar Division, to which approximately 100,000 Bosnian Muslims volunteered.¹⁵⁴ The exiled Amin AL-HUSSEINI served as the chief administrator of that Islamic military force – the purpose of which (in part) appears to have been the extermination of all Orthodox Christian Serbians within the region. The historical context therefore includes the genocide in Bosnia under the direction of Amin AL-HUSSEINI – and the subsequent extermination by Muslim forces of more than 200,000 Orthodox Christian Serbs, 22,000 Jewish Bosnians, and 40,000 Gypsies.

In terms of fascist Italy, it is of note that by the early stages of World War 2 Benito MUSSOLINI saw fit to propose the building of a major mosque in Rome – a reflection of both his keenness to win over the Muslims of North Africa to his cause, as well as his (as with Hitler’s) view of Islam sharing much common ground with fascist ideology.¹⁵⁵ MUSSOLINI celebrated (and was lauded for) carrying ‘the sword of Islam’ throughout the North Africa / Mediterranean region.

So, how do ex-Muslims now view the true nature of Islam? Here is one notable example.

In an interview in 2007 Ayaan Hirsi Ali characterized Islam as “*the new fascism*”, and that “*just like Nazism started with Hitler’s vision, the Islamic vision is a caliphate—a society ruled by Sharia law—in which*

¹⁵¹ Hassan al-Banna, “Why Do the Muslims Fight,” contained in *Jihad in Modern Islamic Thought A Collection*, ed., Sheikh Abdullah Bin Muhammad Bin Humaid, <http://www.majalla.org/>.

¹⁵² ‘Zionism in the Age of the Dictators – Chapter 8, Palestine – The Arabs, Zionists, British and Nazis’, by Lenni Brenner, source:

¹⁵³ ‘Why Ken Livingstone Got It Right Over Nazi Support for Zionism’, by Tony Greenstein, 17th June 2016, original, quoted source: <https://plus.google.com/116882677252924959783>

¹⁵⁴ The Hanzar Division subsequently became one of the largest divisions in the German Army, with approximately 26,000 serving soldiers at any one time.

¹⁵⁵ “One Italian-speaking academic e-mailed a translated excerpt from the diary of the fascist foreign minister Galeazzo Ciano, including an entry in which he discussed with the Italian ambassador to the Vatican Mussolini’s strong support for the building a mosque in Rome, as a symbol of Rome as the capital of all the newly acquired citizens of the Muslim countries in North Africa annexed by the regime”, ‘Mussolini Update, Ben Smith, 31st August, 2010, source: <https://www.politico.com/blogs/ben-smith/2010/08/mussolini-update-028929>

women who have sex before marriage are stoned to death, homosexuals are beaten, and 'apostates like me are killed.' Sharia law is as inimical to liberal democracy as Nazism." ^{156 157}

In this interview, she also made it clear that in her opinion it is not "a fringe group of radical Muslims who've hijacked Islam and that the majority of Muslims are moderate ... violence is inherent in Islam—it's a destructive, nihilistic cult of death. It legitimates murder."

5 Comment

The domestic policy of the UK Government (and agencies) has provided for the emergence and growth of an Islamic Jihadist subculture within the UK.) Considerable funding from Saudi Arabian sources, have been used for the purpose of spreading the extreme Wahhabist / Salafist form of Islam for that purpose – and, in particular, by funding the building of mosques, Islamic community centres and Islamic charities, and by extensive proselytizing work that targets (especially) young, vulnerable men and women.

Of particular note is the extent to which both the UK 'authorities' and UK mainstream media have worked to conceal such developments from the general public – even to the extent of subverting the work of the law enforcement and security services, and of using those agencies to discredit and silence those who attempt to expose the reasons behind the emergence of an Islamic terrorist subculture within the UK.

The foreign policy of successive UK Governments (and agencies) has also provided for the emergence and growth of an Islamic terrorist subculture within the UK. This has been by means of: support of the US/Saudi/NATO/EU axis; the unlawful military attacks on sovereign nations; and the encouragement of Islamic terrorist insurgency within other countries – including by means of the supply of materials, military assistance, and political (propaganda) support.

A major part of that process is that of a powerful, global elite fomenting regional conflicts through the instigating of violent insurrections, and of wars against (and between) sovereign nations. Apart from the material benefits for the global elite, this warmongering helps to create massive numbers of refugees (from all sides of such conflicts) – who are then encouraged to seek sanctuary in Western Europe (in particular). Examples include: Kosovo; Chechnya; Iraq; Georgia; Iraq; Afghanistan; Syria and Ukraine.

In this regard, the UK State has a particular loathing of the native English people – and in particular a hatred of the characteristically obstinate conservatism of the 'white working class'. The State works to impose radical, 'progressive' social change (fundamentally, of population replacement) by means of engineering mass immigration into the UK from some of the most brutally violent regions of the World. ¹⁵⁸

¹⁵⁶ The London *Evening Standard*, 2nd February 2007

¹⁵⁷ Ayaan Hirsi Ali is a Muslim apostate, a Dutch feminist and political writer – and a daughter of the Somali scholar, politician, and revolutionary opposition leader Hirsi Magan Isse. She is a prominent and controversial author, filmmaker, and critic of Islam. Her writings have led to death threats from numerous Muslim organizations and individuals – which have forced her to live under guard and in relative seclusion. She has also received numerous awards for her human rights work, and in 2005, was named by *Time* magazine as one of the 100 most influential people in the world.

¹⁵⁸ In this regard the particular interest is in regard to the activities of the UK State – an elitist, authoritarian cabal transcending (and thereby subverting) elected government and the democratic process.

The State's eagerness to engage unlawfully in war (and to commit war crimes), against sovereign countries in North Africa and the Middle East, has been (and still is) a major part of this overall 'grand strategy' – to cause major demographic change within both the UK and the rest of Europe.

A most disturbing aspect of that strategy is this: that the supremacist, expansionist Global Jihad that the UK political elite send our young men and women to fight (and to be killed or maimed, whilst fighting that threat) has emerged and expanded with the deliberate connivance of that same UK political elite.

Over the last three decades, agencies of the UK Government have assisted in the establishment and entrenchment of a murderously violent Islamic ideology within the UK. The end result has been to make England an important European 'hub' for Global Islamic terror. The UK Government has assisted in the growth of a subversive, violent, and anti-nativist Islamic insurgency throughout much of Europe.^{159 160 161}

Additionally, UK State agencies have been effectively complicit in the sexual grooming and violent abuse by Muslim rape gangs (including threats of murder, and in some cases evidence of actual murder) of hundreds of thousands of English girls and young woman, throughout our towns and cities.

It is estimated the number of victims of the Muslim rape gangs (girls, young women) is at least 260,000.¹⁶²

Contiguous with those overtly racist activities by gangs of 'Muslim heritage', have been the terrorist attacks by Islamists, such as: London (7th July 2005, 52 people murdered); London (22nd May 2013, 1 person murdered); London (22nd March 2017, 4 people murdered); Manchester (22nd May 2017, 22 people murdered, mostly girls and young women); and London (3rd June 2017, 8 people murdered).¹⁶³

However, for the UK State, the 'problem' of Muslim gang violence and Islamic terrorism is not that men, women and children are being assaulted, killed and maimed – it is, rather, only that such acts of overt violence pose a serious threat to the success of the UK State's globalist, 'progressive' project.¹⁶⁴

Beginning *circa* 2005, the UK State effectively made a pact with the domestic Islamic Jihadists. That deal was essentially was that the Islamic Jihadists would promise to help prevent future terror attacks in the UK, providing that: (1) the State was to treat those who protested against Islamic terrorism, or the activities of Muslim rape gangs, as perpetrators of 'hate crime'; (2) the UK State (through its various agencies) would work to promote the notion that a serious, existential threat is posed by native English people toward

¹⁵⁹ 'Londonistan – How Britain is Creating a Terror State Within', Melanie Phillips, Gibson Square, London 2006

¹⁶⁰ 'Terror Base UK – Inside a Secret War', Neil Doyle, Mainstream Publishing, Edinburgh 2006

¹⁶¹ 'Islamist Terrorism in Europe', Peter Nesser, Hurst and Company, London 2015

¹⁶² The most conservative estimate for total number of victims (England and Wales) for the period from 1997 to 2013 (i.e. 17 years, inclusive) is based on Rotherham data as a 'representative case'.

¹⁶³ 'Rotherham child sex victim says she still sees abusers 'driving young girls in their car', as claims emerge of hundreds of new cases', by Victoria Richards, The Independent, 29th January 2015, source: <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/rotherham-child-sex-victim-says-she-still-sees-abusers-driving-young-girls-in-their-car-as-claims-emerge-of-hundreds-of-new-cases-10009992.html>

¹⁶⁴ Such as: most recently in London (22nd March, 4 people murdered); Manchester (22nd May 2017, 22 people, mostly girls and young women brutally murdered); and London (3rd June 2017, 8 people murdered) – and the State's response to those atrocities.

Muslims (as well as other minority groups); and (3), the State would be used to effect a policy of arresting, prosecuting, and imprisoning those persons make disparaging comments about Islam.

The State attacks those who expose or protest against the following: Islamic terrorism; the widespread activities of the Muslim child rape gangs; the drug trafficking and distribution networks (that destroys the lives of many of our young people); the effective curtailment of free speech; and (most importantly) the effective complicity of the State in all these things. Those who protest are labelled as 'haters'.

The consequences for any individual targeted by the State are likely to be devastating: extreme social stigmatization leading to destruction of family life; loss of livelihood and reputation; abandonment by one's friends; and of abuse (and possibly violent assault) from members of the public. This puts immense psychological pressures on any person who challenges the State – as is intended. It induces real fear. It is a form of State-instigated proxy-terrorism.

So, what does all this amount to?

The State, in its indulgence in crimes of High Treason, employs terrorism and proxy-terrorism (through various methods and to different degrees) against targeted individuals and groups – used against those who oppose, or who are seen as an obstacle to, the elite's 'utopian' globalist vision for the future.

The UK State has had more than a century to develop, perfect and apply scientifically based methods for social manipulation and control – through mass propaganda and socio-psychological techniques – used against both individuals and groups. It uses State agencies, NGOs, and corporate businesses for extreme, malevolent purpose. The UK State uses such methods to conceal its betrayal of the English people.

END